

# CE Session Evaluation Form

**Please print your name here:**

*The Council on Social Work Education, provider #1163, is approved by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program (https://www.aswb.org/ace). The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. ASWB Approval Period: 1/23/2018 - 1/23/2021. Social workers should contact their regulatory board to determine course approval for continuing education credits. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Track: Field Education (Panel)** |
| **Session # 717** | **Putting the Human Rights Mandate into U.S. Social Work Field Education**Jane McPherson, University of GeorgiaKathryn Libal, University of ConnecticutS. Megan Berthold, University of ConnecticutChristina Chiarelli-Helminiak, West Chester University |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Date:** Sunday, November 11, 2018 | **Time:** 7:30 AM | **Room:** Europe 2, Lobby/Third Floor (Dolphin, Walt Disney World Resort) |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part 1: Learning ObjectivesPlease evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| Participants will recognize the difference between human rights and human rights-based practice, and will be able to discuss the importance of this distinction for our practice-based profession. |   |   |   |   |   |
| Participants will be introduced to tools for measuring human rights attitudes and practices in social workers, especially in social work field instructors, and these tools will be made available to them. |   |   |   |   |   |
| Participants will learn strategies for building synergy between classroom and field-based education related to human rights. |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 2: Session Content** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The session content was appropriate to my education, experience and/or licensure level. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The session content was relevant to my practice. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The session content was current. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The session content was presented effectively. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 5. Handouts and/or teaching aids (if they were available) enhanced the session content. |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 3: Presenters** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The presenter/s were knowledgeable about the session content. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The presenter/s' presentation was clear and effective. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The presenter/s were responsive to participants. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The presenter/s used technology effectively (if applicable). |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 4: CE Administration** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. Questions or concerns were addressed effectively and in a timely manner. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The location was suitable to the presentation. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The facilities were conducive to learning. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The instructions for requesting accommodations for a disability were clear. |   |   |   |   |   |

**Signature:**

*Please submit any additional comments on the reverse side of this form.*