# CE Session Evaluation Form

**Please print your name here:**

*The Council on Social Work Education, provider #1163, is approved by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program (https://www.aswb.org/ace). The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. ASWB Approval Period: 1/23/2018 - 1/23/2021. Social workers should contact their regulatory board to determine course approval for continuing education credits. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Track: Social Welfare Policy and Policy Practice (Interactive Workshop)** | |
| **Session # 567** | **Framing Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs): Where Communications Science and Policy Advocacy Intersect**  Susan Steckel, University of Tennessee at Knoxville  Kim Crane Mallory, University of Tennessee at Knoxville  Jessica Skeesick, University of Tennessee at Knoxville |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Date:**  Saturday, November 10, 2018 | **Time:**  1:45 PM | **Room:**  Asia 4, Lobby/Third Level (Dolphin, Walt Disney World Resort) |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:** | | | | | |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| Participants will be able to demonstrate an understanding of emerging evidence in neuroscience related to Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participants will be able to construct creative strategies to engage students by embedding neuroscience and communications science concepts into public policy courses, including the use of evidence-based communications tools in micro and macro level interventions. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participants will be able to describe the role of social workers in interprofessional practice when working to address complex social problems, such as ensuring healthy development for all youth and closing the health gap, and the common language needed to be an effective advocate in interdisciplinary settings. |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Part 2: Session Content** | | | | | |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The session content was appropriate to my education, experience and/or licensure level. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The session content was relevant to my practice. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The session content was current. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The session content was presented effectively. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Handouts and/or teaching aids (if they were available) enhanced the session content. |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Part 3: Presenters** | | | | | |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The presenter/s were knowledgeable about the session content. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The presenter/s' presentation was clear and effective. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The presenter/s were responsive to participants. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The presenter/s used technology effectively (if applicable). |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Part 4: CE Administration** | | | | | |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. Questions or concerns were addressed effectively and in a timely manner. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The location was suitable to the presentation. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The facilities were conducive to learning. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The instructions for requesting accommodations for a disability were clear. |  |  |  |  |  |

**Signature:**

*Please submit any additional comments on the reverse side of this form.*