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|  |
| --- |
| **Track: International Issues (Panel)** |
| **Session # 260** | **Across Two Continents: A collaboration between two social work programs.**Robin M. Smith, University of Texas at AustinSarah Sloan, University of Texas at Austin |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Date:** Friday, November 9, 2018 | **Time:** 3:00 PM | **Room:** Oceanic 5, Lobby/Third Floor (Dolphin, Walt Disney World Resort) |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part 1: Learning ObjectivesPlease evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| Participants will be able to articulate the benefits and challenges of international social work education collaboration. |   |   |   |   |   |
| Participants will have a greater appreciation of the organization of social work education in the U.S. and learn how it can provide a model of education for social work schools in countries where social work education has been disrupted. |   |   |   |   |   |
| Participants will consider how they approach skill-building in the context of social work education as well as new activities to integrate into their teaching. |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 2: Session Content** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The session content was appropriate to my education, experience and/or licensure level. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The session content was relevant to my practice. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The session content was current. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The session content was presented effectively. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 5. Handouts and/or teaching aids (if they were available) enhanced the session content. |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 3: Presenters** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The presenter/s were knowledgeable about the session content. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The presenter/s' presentation was clear and effective. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The presenter/s were responsive to participants. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The presenter/s used technology effectively (if applicable). |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 4: CE Administration** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. Questions or concerns were addressed effectively and in a timely manner. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The location was suitable to the presentation. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The facilities were conducive to learning. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The instructions for requesting accommodations for a disability were clear. |   |   |   |   |   |

**Signature:**

*Please submit any additional comments on the reverse side of this form.*