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**Please print your name here:**
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|  |
| --- |
| **Track: Field Education (Panel)** |
| **Session # 250** | **Innovative Field Placement Develops Students’ Competence in Social Justice Oriented Interprofessional Practice**Shelagh Jane Larkin, Xavier UniversityKatherine Virginia Schad, Beacon of Hope @ Nehemiah Manufacturing |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Date:** Friday, November 9, 2018 | **Time:** 3:00 PM | **Room:** Southern Hemisphere 4/Fifth Level (Dolphin, Walt Disney World Resort) |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part 1: Learning ObjectivesPlease evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| Participants will expand their understanding of interprofessional field settings by learning about an innovative placement and develop skills necessary to identify new sites which will allow for social justice oriented interprofessional practice. |   |   |   |   |   |
| Participants will increase their knowledge of the current literature with respect to how social work is viewed by other disciplines in host settings. |   |   |   |   |   |
| Participants will develop strategies, via the findings of a case study, to prepare students to advance the social justice mission of the profession through innovative interprofessional practice. |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 2: Session Content** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The session content was appropriate to my education, experience and/or licensure level. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The session content was relevant to my practice. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The session content was current. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The session content was presented effectively. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 5. Handouts and/or teaching aids (if they were available) enhanced the session content. |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 3: Presenters** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. The presenter/s were knowledgeable about the session content. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The presenter/s' presentation was clear and effective. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The presenter/s were responsive to participants. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The presenter/s used technology effectively (if applicable). |   |   |   |   |   |
| **Part 4: CE Administration** |
| **\*\*\*Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree\*\*\*** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **N/A** |
| 1. Questions or concerns were addressed effectively and in a timely manner. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2. The location was suitable to the presentation. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3. The facilities were conducive to learning. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 4. The instructions for requesting accommodations for a disability were clear. |   |   |   |   |   |

**Signature:**

*Please submit any additional comments on the reverse side of this form.*