

The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 1

Data Analyses for Small Samples and Non-Normal Data: Nonparametric Methods

Carl Siebert, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey Darcy Clay Siebert, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014 Time: 9:00:00 AM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rat	ing Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
	mple size, power, level of measurement, and variable distribution to c or nonparametric methods for statistical analyses.					
	ious nonparametric tests for one variable, two or more independent groups, ore related groups, and prediction to statistical analyses.					
3. Apply SP	SS to their own analyses.					
Part 2: Progr	am Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4	
Program Cor	ntent					
1. The prese	entation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2. The prese	enters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3. The prese	entation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4. The prese	enter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5. The prese	enter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6. The prese	enter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7. I would at	tend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 2Designing Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE) to Assess Social
Work Student Competencies

Mary Rawlings, Azusa Pacific University Marion Bogo, University of Toronto Ellen Katz, University of Toronto Barbara Johnson, Azusa Pacific University

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014 Time: 9:00:00 AM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	1	2	3	4				
1.	Describe the OSCE methodology in health professions and social work.							
2.	Articulate practice behaviors for assessment.							
3.	Develop valid and reliable case scenarios.							
4.	Design rating scales to evaluate articulated student practice behaviors							
5.	Articulate strategies for implementing OSCEs in their programs based on program assessment goals							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	iters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 3

From Flipcharts to the Flipped Classroom: Using Technology to Promote Clinical Skills

Megan R. Holmes, Case Western Reserve University Elizabeth M. Tracy, Case Western Reserve University Lori Longs Painter, Case Western Reserve University

Date: Inursday, October 23, 2014 Ime: 9:00:00 AM Room: Room 7 (Tampa Convention Cer	hursday, October 23, 2014 Time: 9:00:00 AM Room: Room 7 (Tampa Conv	vention Center)
---	---	-----------------

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree							
1.	Articulate a theoretical and empirical rationale for use of academic technology in foundation and advanced direct practice courses.							
2.	Demonstrate use of Google Applications for Education (e.g., Hangouts, Google+, Drive, Documents, Presentations, and Forms) as an academic technology to promote the active learning of clinical skills.							
3.	Formulate methods for using academic technology in course design to enhance learning of clinical skills.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	iters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 4

Nuts and Bolts to Implement and Evaluate Sustainable Interprofessional Education

Maureen Rubin, University of Nevada, Reno Barbara Jones, University of Texas at Austin Shelley Cohen Konrad, University of New England Jayashree Nimmagadda, Rhode Island College Anna Scheyett, University of South Carolina

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014 Time: 9:00:00 AM Room: Room 9 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree Apply core interprofessional competencies for health practice to social work				4	
1.	Apply core interprofessional competencies for health practice to social work education and practice					
2.	Articulate an understanding of approaches for conceptualizing, collaborating, implementing, evaluating, and sustaining IPE content and coursework.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presen	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 5

Orientation and Professional Development for New Directors/Administrators of Baccalaureate Social Work Programs

Deborah B. Rubin, Chatham University Rebecca Turner, Jacksonville State Universit Emily Myers, Auburn University Stephanie Valutis, Christopher Newport University

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014	Time: 9:00:00 AM	Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)
----------------------------------	------------------	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Articulate the role and scope of the administration of an undergraduate social work program.						
2.	Apply skills in managing and maximizing resources						
3.	Identify approaches to establishing and maintaining governance in undergraduate baccalaureate programs						
4.	Describe the relationship between CSWE accreditation and program administration						
5.	Apply skills to areas such as relating to shifting constituents and using new technologies to promote social work education.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 6

Engaging Students in the Social Work Classroom Through Team-Based Learning

Julie Bach, Dominican University Charlie Stoops, Dominican University Jodi Cressman, Dominican University

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014

Time: 1:30:00 PM Room: Room 7 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe the way in which team-based learning promotes practice behaviors in social work education and increases student accountability for course preparation, critical thinking collaboration, and leadership						
2.	Identify successful team-based projects from across the social work curriculum.						
3.	Name the key features of effective collaborative learning: team formation, accountability for course preparation, effective assignments, and peer evaluation						
4.	Adapt or redesign a course to incorporate team-based learning						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	***Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree*** Im Content	1	2	3	4		
Progra		1	2	3	4		
	m Content	1	2	3	4		
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.			3	4		
1. 2.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective.			3	4 		
1. 2. 3.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective.			3			
1. 2. 3. Preser	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective. hters			3			
1. 2. 3. Preser 4.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective. Inters The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.			3			

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session #7

Preparing Social Workers With Person-Centered and Participant-Directed Competencies

Nancy Hooyman, University of Washington Mark Sciegaj, The Pennsylvania State University Anissa Rogers, University of Portland Doreen Higgins, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014 Time: 1:30:00 PM Room: Room 9 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Articulate the person-centered and participant-directed (PC/PD) philosophy, competencies, and role in community-based long-term services and supports and implications for social work education and practice.						
2.	Use the PC/PD Competencies Toolkit to select teaching resources for infusion into generalist social work courses						
3.	Apply strategies that engage faculty members, administrators, and students in the process of infusing PC/PD competencies, content, and measures of student competency attainment into a generalist social work curriculum						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	iters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.	1					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 8	Publishing in Social Work Journals: Lessons Learned From Editors-in-Chief
	Elizabeth C. Pomeroy, University of Texas at Austin
	Hugh McLaughlin, Manchester Metropolitan University
	Susan Robbins, University of Houston
	Susan Mason, Yeshiva University
	Noel Busch-Armendariz, University of Texas at Austin, School of Social Work
Date: Thursday, Octobe	23, 2014 Time: 1:30:00 PM Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4	
1.	Describe the relationship between academic expectations and scholarly publication.					
2.	Articulate an understanding of the editorial review process.					
3.	Discuss the importance of acceptance rates and impact factors in manuscript submission.					
4.	Prepare a manuscript for peer review that adheres to journal guidelines.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	iters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: ___



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 9

Teaching DSM-5

Lloyd L. Lyter, Marywood University Sharon C. Lyter, Kutztown University

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014 Time: 1:30:00 PM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					
1.	Identify major DSM 5 revision principles					
2.	Discuss the "spectra" approach introduced in DSM 5 for some diagnoses					
3.	Identify a number of major revisions to DSM 5 from DSM IV TR.					
4.	Implement teaching approaches using DSM 5.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presen	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Development Institutes

Session # 10

Using Evidence-Based Practice to Teach MSW Students About Case Formulation for Trauma

Virginia Strand Lyn K. Slater, Fordham University Ineke Way, Western Michigan University

Date: Thursday, October 23, 2014	Time: 1:30:00 PM	Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Acquire problem-based learning skills for teaching an evidence-based approach to case formulation with traumatized children and their families					
2.	Implement a curriculum using trauma-informed clinical knowledge and reasoning skills to enhance intervention planning for MSW students.					
3.	Prepare for certification to teach a Core Concepts in Trauma course at the MSW level.					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Addictions

Session # 12

Building Opportunities for Employee Retention in Outpatient Treatment Programs for Substance Abuse

Michael Clarkson-Hendrix, University at Albany, State University of New York Yeongbin Kim, University at Albany, State University of New York

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 7:45:00 AM	Room: Room 13 ((Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Identify the components of a capability logic model.				
2.	Apply a capability logic model to enhance employee retention in outpatient substance abuse treatment programs.				
3.	Evaluate the strengths and challenges in applying a capability logic model to intervene with employee retention difficulties in outpatient substance abuse treatment programs.				
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Session # 15

Finding Balance Through the Wobble: Mindfulness-Based Interventions With Children and Adolescents

R. Lyle Cooper, University of Tennessee at Knoxville

	Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 7:45:00 AM	Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)
--	--------------------------------	------------------	--	---

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4	
1. Apply different mindfulness techniques to students and clients.					
2. Integrate mindfulness techniques into practice.					
3. Describe mindfulness techniques that are useful with children and adolescents.					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content					
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3. The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters					
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 17	Teaching About Race and Racism
	Joshua Miller, Smith College
	Ann Marie Garran, University of Connecticut

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 7:45:00 AM Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives					
Please	Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Design and teach social work courses about racism.					
2.	Facilitate and manage classroom discussions about race and racism.					
3.	Appraise the effectiveness of teaching about race and racism.			1		
Part 2	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	am Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 18Advancing Field Education: Creating Applied Learning and Field Coordination
Teams
Cynthia Williams, Washington University in St. Louis
Nicole Brueggeman, Washington University in St. LouisDate: Friday, October 24, 2014Time: 7:45:00 AMRoom: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1:	Learning Objectives					
	Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Define the relationship of applied learning and field coordination.					
2.	Develop timelines that outline strategic programming and communication and highlight field education touch points for faculty members, staff, students, and field instructors.					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 19

Workplace Internships: Can We Focus on Competence and Not Hours?

Michele Sienkiewicz, University of Denver Kim Yuskis, University of Denver Wanda Ellingson, University of Denver Ann Petrila, University of Denver

Time: 7:45:00 AM

M **Room:** Meeting Room 12 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives				
Please	evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able			
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Articulate workplace internship requirements for students.				
2.	Describe factors that affect student success in field education internships.				
3.	Describe new models of employment-based internships where students can develop competence.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Health

Session # 20 Crossing Waters to Connect Our Professional "Divide": Lessons From Cuba's Health-Care System
Nicole M. Dubus, Wheelock College
Darlyne Bailey, Bryn Mawr College

Lillian C. Wichinsky, University of Arkansas at Little Rock Josephine A.V. Allen, Binghamton University, State University of New York

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 7:45:00 AM Room: Room 24 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Compare Cuba's approach to health care with that used in the United States.				
2.	Describe differences in macro and micro practice in health care.				
3.	Describe ways that an integrated macro-micro social work practice in health care can be developed.				
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



.

. .

. _

The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research a	nd Program Ev	aluation				
Session # 21	An Innov	ovative Direct Practice Evaluation Course for the Real World				
	Linda E. Jo Stacy Rem	ones ke, University of Minnesota	n-Twin Cities			
Date: Friday, Octob	er 24, 2014	Time: 7:45:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside			

Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
1.	Describe an innovative model for teaching direct practice evaluation that encourages evaluation-informed practice.					
2.	Articulate lessons learned and recommendations for implementation of this model in social work education.					
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Prese	nters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: ____



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 22

Confronting Privilege: Continuing Constructive Conversations

Tanya Greathouse, Metropolitan State University of Denver Jo Daugherty Bailey, Metropolitan State University of Denver

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 7:45:00 AM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4		
1. Define privilege and oppression.						
2. Identify at least two strategies for promoting student self-awareness.						
 Desribe at least two strategies for overcoming student resistance to acknowledgin privilege. 	g					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4		
Program Content						
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3. The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters						
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 23 Integrating Sport Into Social Work Curricula and Advancing Social Work Education

Emmett Lee Gill, University of Texas at San Antonio

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time:	7:45:00 AM Room Hotel)	n: Meeting Room 6 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
--------------------------------------	---------------------------	---

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4	
1.	Describe student-athlete vulnerabilities and the way in which social work can assist in addressing these vulnerabilities.					
2.	Describe social work ideologies that apply to athletics and potential strategies to integrate content related to student-athlete development and the college athletics environment into social work education.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 24 Teaching Macro Social Work: Models, Pedagogy, and Practical Applications Susan Roll, California State University, Chico Rukshan Fernando, Azusa Pacific University Margaret Sherraden, University of Missouri-St. Louis Terry Mizrahi, Hunter College, City University of New York

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 7:45:00 AM

Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Articulate the challenges of connecting macro practice concepts in direct practice settings and strategies for student engagement in these topics.					
2.	Describe classroom exercises used by current macro faculty members.					
3.	Use practical tools for enhancing existing macro courses and integrating macro content into direct practice classrooms.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presen	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 25

A Think Tank on the NASW Code of Ethics and Communication Technologies Dawn Hobdy, NASW Allan E. Barsky, Florida Atlantic University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 7:45:00 AM	Room: Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)
--------------------------------	------------------	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4			
1.	Describe ethical issues related to confidentiality, documentation, boundaries, and informed consent in the context of social work practice and the use of communication technologies.							
2.	Develop ethical standards related to the use of communication technologies.							
3.	Teach students how to manage ethical issues related to confidentiality, documentation, boundaries, and informed consent in the context of social work practice and the use of communication technologies.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice						
Session # 26 Using Student-Created, Video PSAs to Facilitate Learning in an HBSE Course						
Yee Han Chu Andrew Quinn, University of North Dakota						
Date: Friday, October 24	4, 2014 Time: 7:45:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)				

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4	
1.	Apply theoretical and pedagogical underpinnings of using student produced video.					
2.	Describe how a project facilitates the learning of HBSE content related to EPAS competencies.					
3.	Implement and assess a student-produced video project in an HBSE course.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	nters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 27

Gatekeeping: A Continuing Challenge to Advancing the Social Work Profession Joanne Whelley Phyllis Black, Marywood University Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 7:45:00 AM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Formulate gatekeeping strategies to successfully preserve and promote the professional standing of social work education.						
2.	Describe the effect on clients, students, faculty members, and the profession resulting from insufficient gatekeeping.						
3.	Design supports for termination efforts by faculty members and field instructors in the face of retention demands and increased litigation.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Evidence-Based Practice

Session # 35	Clinical T	rial Registration and E	vidence-Based Practice
	,	acasse, Florida State Univ	,
		, ,	,
Date: Friday, Octobe	er 24, 2014	Time: 7:45:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				4	
1. Describe forms of selective reporting and their impact on research and practice.					
2. Describe the purpose and utility of clinical trial registration.					
3. Register studies.					
art 2: Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content					
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3. The presentation was clear and effective.					
resenters					
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Interprofessional and Transdisciplinary Practice

Session # 39

Implementation of an Interprofessional Education Model Into Field Experiences Robin Huebner Leigh Ann Poole

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 7:45:00 AM	Room: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe strategies for integrating interprofessional education (IPE) core competencies into the field placement, clinical rotation, or practicum.						
2.	Describe ways to use technology to support IPE student learning activities and outcomes.						
3.	Describe challenges and solutions in development and delivery of IPE content.						
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Transgender Issues

Session # 42

Social Environment Matters for LGBTQ People Across the Lifespan Michael R. Woodford, University of Michigan Megan S. Paceley, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Elizabeth Holman, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Karen Fredriksen-Goldsen, University of Washington

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014Time: 7:45:00 AMRoom: Grand Ballroom Salon A (Marriott Tampa Bay
Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Define the meaning of institutional, community, and structural factors and describe their relationship with the well-being of LGBTQ people across the lifespan.					
2.	Describe the differences between subjective and objective measures of climate for LGBTQ people.					
3.	Identify ways in which social workers can promote health, well-being, and social justice for the LGBTQ community.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 52

Narrative Identity Formation in Child Welfare: Educating Future Social Workers Jessica Lo

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Articulate how narrative theory and structural symbolic interactionism influence the formation of identity narratives for children within the child welfare system.						
2.	Describe how workers can engage with children to affect their identity development and identify appropriate interventions to promote healthy identity development.						
 Describe the importance of applied theory in social work classrooms and articulate strategies to promote discussion and use of applied theory with future child welfare social workers. 							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Session # 54

Developing a CBT Training Program for MSW Students: A University-Community Collaboration

Jill L. Dickie, University of Akron Timothy McCarragher, University of Akron John Ellis

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Explain strategies employed in the implementation of a program for graduate students using cognitive behavioral training (CBT).						
2.	Describe elements of CBT courses and provide a rational for their inclusion.						
3.	Explain the role of a university-community partnership in the CBT training program.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	iters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 56

Fostering Self-Awareness and Anti-Oppressive Practice: Utilizing the Hidden, Complex Social Identities of Faculty Members

Ann Marie Garran, University of Connecticut

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe how hidden and complex identities can affect teaching and their relationship to teaching anti-oppressive practice.						
2.	Link concepts of clinical practice (transference, countertransference, use of self, self-awareness) to anti-oppressive practice material for students.						
3.	Challenge students' preconceptions and dismantle various "isms" in the classroom by focusing on self-awareness and use of self.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Disaster and Traumatic Stress

Session # 58Preparing for the Zombie Apocalypse: Development of Disaster Preparedness
and Response Curriculum

Lisa Baker, University of Alabama at Birmingham Chris Walker, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AMRoom: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				
1.	Describe the importance of introducing disaster preparedness and response curriculum in social work education within the framework of the EPAS competencies.				
2.	 Assess students' perceptions of change in knowledge and readiness for work with diverse populations and contexts. 				
3.	 Apply faculty experiences working with students in disaster settings and recommendations for curriculum content. 				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4
Program Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 59A Success-Based Approach to Managing Ethical Issues in the FieldArlene Faye Kaplan, Florida Atlantic University
Allan E. Barsky, Florida Atlantic University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014Time: 1	1:00:00 AM Roor Hotel	n: Meeting Room	12 (Marriott	Tampa Bay Waterside
---------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------	--------------	---------------------

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Develop an effective system for responding to ethical issues that arise in field education.					
2.	Use a multi-perspective approach to analyzing ethical issues, taking individual, environmental, and political factors into account.					
3.	Develop effective action plans to remediate ethical issues and foster student success.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	am Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	nters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.			Ī		

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 63	Student Engagement in Redesigning Field
	Shirley Moy, Temple University Valarie Clemmons, Temple University / School of Social Work Tammy Carson, Temple University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 11:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
Date. 1 Hday, October 24, 2014	Time: 11.00.00 AM	Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Articulate student perspectives on field education such as views on task supervisors, field instructors, adjunct field liaisons, field staff, field sites and field experiences.						
2.	Explain how student engagement strategies can address CSWE Accreditation Standard 3.2.9 on Student Participation and CSWE Educational Policy 2.1.10(a) Engagement standards.						
3.	Explain how student engagement strategies influenced student satisfaction with the field office and changes to policies and procedures related to field						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Interprofessional and Transdisciplinary Practice

Session # 67

Writing and Research in Collaboration With Interdisciplinary Colleagues: Benefits, Pitfalls, and Guidelines Ronald Rooney, University of Minnesota-Twin Cities

Helen E. Petracchi, University of Pittsburgh; Elena Izaksonas

Nancy Rodenborg, Augsburg College

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM **Room:** Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:									
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Describe pros and cons of research and writing collaboration.								
2.	Explain ways to seek potential interdisciplinary collaborators.								
3.	Describe guidelines for constructive conflict.								
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Presenters									
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

 Session # 68
 Utilizing a Professional Development Model to Examine Career-Long Learning with Students

 Jennifer Luna-Idunate, University of Texas at Austin Andrew T. Marks, Texas State University-San Marcos

 Deter Evide
 Outplese 04 00044

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		2	3	4
1.	Describe a model for the stages of a professional development cycle for social workers.				
2.	Describe strategies that students can take in job searching, career change, and career advancement.				
3.	Describe the connection between professional development and EPAS 2.1.1.				
Part 2	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presei	Presenters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social and Economic Justice

 Session # 70
 Social Justice by Design: Programmatic and Administrative Choices

 Page Walker Buck, West Chester University
 Julie Tennille, West Chester University

 Datas Evidem October 04 2004
 Times 44:00:00 AM

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Define social justice.						
2.	Discuss the role played by social work programs in promoting social justice.						
3.	Describe examples of programmatic and administrative decisions that social work education can make to promote social justice.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	Im Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 72

Practice Research

Mark Cameron, Southern Connecticut State University Josey Madison, Stonington Institute

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 9 (Tampa Convention Center)

Knowledge for Practice Education: Theories of Donald Schon and Deliberate

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
		Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
	1.	Describe the philosophical and theoretical bases of social work practice education.						
	2.	Apply the work of Donald Schön to social work practice education.						
	3.	Connect deliberate practice research to social work practice education in the classroom and in field instruction.						
Par	t 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
		Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Pro	gra	m Content						
	1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
	2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
	3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters								
	4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
	5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
	6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
	7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 82

Toward the Development of a National Board of Professional Child Welfare Standards

Hotel)

Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Alberta Ellett, University of Georgia Ruth McRoy, Boston College Alice Lieberman, University of Kansas Crystal Collins-Camargo, University of Louisville

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					
1.	Describe the reasons why a National Board of Professional Child Welfare Standards (NBPCWS) is necessary.					
2.	Describe benefits of receiving NBPCWS certification.					
3.	Describe core issues involved in the development of the proposed national certification system.					
4.	Describe how an initial conceptual framework and a study of child welfare professionals may be approached.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
			1		1	
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
3. Preser 4.						
Preser	iters					
Preser 4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Community Organization and Social Administration

 Session # 84
 FUEL NKU: A Community-Organizing, Campus Hunger Initiative

 James Canfield, Northern Kentucky University

Data, Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 11:00:00 AM	Room: Grand Ballroom Salon A (Marriott Tampa Bay
Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time. 11.00.00 AM	Room: Grand Ballroom Salon A (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Apply strategies to collaborate with students to increase awareness of an issue.						
2.	Describe challenges and strategies involved in community organizing on a college campus.						
3.	Describe innovative ways to conduct community organization and research activities.						
Part 2	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4		
Progra	am Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Prese	nters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Educational Outcomes Assessment

Session # 94Writing Skills for MSW Students: Assessment and Curricular EnhancementEricka Deglau, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
Darcy Gioia, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
Fiona Conway, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Delineate three to four types of academic and professional writing that are important components of social work education.							
2.	Identify one to two potential evaluative rubrics that can be used to assess students' writing skills and build writing competency.							
3.	Describe two to three strategies to incorporate attention to student writing assessment and support in MSW courses.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Gero-Ed (Aging and Gerontology)

 Session # 96
 Title: Gero-Ed Track Kick-Off Panel: Aging Your Way—Advancing Social Work

 Practice and Education
 Nicole Ruggiano

 Stephen Golant, University of Florida
 Emily Greenfield, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Amanda Lehning

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1	Define the primary characteristics of age-friendly communities						
2	Define the primary characteristics of aging-friendly communities and identify specific models of supportive service provision within this context						
3	Identify social work practice roles to promote aging in a healthy manner across the life course.						
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Prog	am Content						
1	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Pres	nters						
4	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Human Behavior and the Social Environment

Session # 103 The Importance of Trauma-Informed Organizations in Human Services

 Sarah Yanosy, ANDRUS
 Nina Esaki, ANDRUS
 Joseph Benamati, ANDRUS
 Landa Harrison, ANDRUS
 Daniel Lawler, ANDRUS

 Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to: ***Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree*** 1 2 3 4 1. Apply a trauma-informed organizational change intervention to settings such as residential care, juvenile justice facilities, and schools. 2. Describe recent research findings related to trauma-informed organizational change. Part 2: Program Content/Presenters ***Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree -- 4 excellent/strongly agree*** 1 2 3 4 Program Content The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. 1. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. 2. 3. The presentation was clear and effective. Presenters 4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise. 5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions 6. 7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 106

Global Social Work Learning: Deepening Students' Cultural Awareness and Humility

Terry Koenig, University of Kansas Kelley Macmillan, University of Maryland, Baltimore Amy Cohen-Callow, University of Maryland, Baltimore

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 24 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree							
1.	Articulate theoretical concepts and perspectives for supporting students' cultural awareness, humility, and sensitivity around global social work issues.							
2.	Identify activities and assignments for developing and/or expanding international coursework that enhances students' knowledge and critical thinking regarding global social work issues.							
3.	Describe examples of course assignments and theoretical concepts that apply to the CSWE core competencies and behaviors.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	iters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 125

Engaging Students in an Online Learning Environment Andrew Quinn, University of North Dakota Dale Fitch, University of Missouri Jimmy Young, University of Nebraska at Kearney Melanie Sage

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Ti

Time: 11:00:00 AM **Room:** Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree							
1.	Define engagement in an online environment.							
2.	Identify techniques to promote engagement in an online environment.							
3.	Describe tools for helping students model engagement with clients.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree								
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Addictions

Session # 132	Teaching SB Using Client	•	ased Approach to Working With Substance-
	Lydia Ogden, I Evan Senreich	₋ehman College, City U	niversity of New York
Date: Friday, October 24	, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					
1.	List the steps of SBIRT and its evidence base					
2.	Use pedagogical strategies to teach SBIRT to BSW and MSW students and to field instructors					
3.	Identify strategies for integrating SBIRT into the core curricula of social work.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	nters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: African Americans and the African Diaspora

Session # 133	The Politics of Difference and the Disintegration of Families in Black America						
	Sadye L. M. Logan, University of South Carolina						
	Ramona W. Denby-Brinson, University of Nevada, Las Vegas						
	Keith A. Alford, Syracuse University						
	Kendra P. DeLoach McCutcheon, Syracuse University						
Datas Esidas Ostakas 04	2014 Times (145:00 DM Deams Deams O (Terring Constantion Constant)						

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 9 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Compare and analyze participants' responses and survey respondents' responses						
2.	Describe resources that pertain to evidence-based practice and education with Black families.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 135	A Pilot Int	A Pilot Integration of the Generalist Approach to Micro/Macro Practice in Field					
	Rachel Allinson, California State University, San Bernardino						
	Shyra Harri	S					
	Sheree Sur	nmers, Riverside County D	Pepartment of Mental Health				
Date: Friday, Octobe	r 24, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)				

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Describe how the generalist model is implemented in a pilot field program, especially its relationship to micro and macro practice.							
2.	Identify two tools that students can use when implementing generalist practice in field practicum.							
3.	Describe at least two CSWE core competencies that are highlighted in the pilot program.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 136	How to Prep	are Field Instructor	s to Use Research to Inform Practice
		e, University of Mississi , University of Mississip	
Date: Friday, October 2	4, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		ú	3	4		
1.	Identify barriers to field instructors accessing and utilizing research in the practicum setting.						
2.	Facilitate the practical and applicable understanding of EPAS 2.1.6 for field instructors.						
3.	Demonstrate approaches to developing tasks and activities that support the associated EPAS 2.1.6 practice behaviors.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 137	Maintaini	ng Integrity in Field Ed	ucation: The Role of the Field Liaison
	Tammy Ca	rson, Temple University	
	Valarie Cle	mmons, Temple University	/ School of Social Work
		, Temple University	
Date: Friday, Octobe	r 24, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives						
Please	Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be ab ***Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree***						
-		1	2	3	4		
1.	Articulate methods for orienting, engaging, and supervising liaisons in various community types and different regions.						
2.	Discuss the role of technology in field program administration.						
3.	Describe the field liaison's role in gatekeeping.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: ___



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Group Work

Session # 139	How Group Work Knowledge and Skills Inform Academic and Organizational Leadership							
		n, Adelphi University est Chester University						
Date: Friday, October 24	l, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 6 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)					

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Explain how group work knowledge and skills can apply to leadership approaches.							
2.	Articulate group dynamics in participatory learning and collaboration.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Program Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	nters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Immigrants, Refugees, and Displaced Populations

Session # 141Undocu-competence: Training Social Workers to Become Active Safe Spaces
for Undocumented Students

Padraic D. Stanley, Loyola University Chicago Laura Maria Bohórquez García, United We Dream Xochitl De Anda, Loyola University Chicago

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
		Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Identify the stressors and barriers faced by undocumented immigrants in multiple settings.							
2.	Articulate an understanding of immigration-related issues.							
3.	Describe resources that can assist in service to undocumented immigrants.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4			
Program Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	iters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 142	Global Education: Intersections of Caribbean and North American Social Work Education						
	,	Jniversity of the West In s-Knight, Columbia Univ ngleton					
Date: Friday, October 2	4, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 12 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)				

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe at least two effective strategies to administer university/college agreements relating to international partnerships and exchanges.				
2.	Articulate two important considerations for adapting or developing curriculum at the bachelor's and master's levels to foster international student exchanges.				
3.	Identify three core components of field education and the internship.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Transgender Issues

Session # 144Techniques to Address Sexuality Social-Justice Issues in the ClassroomJayleen Galarza, Shippensburg University
Becky Anthony, Salisbury UniversityDate: Friday, October 24, 2014Time: 1:45:00 PMRoom: Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Facilitate discussions around sexuality social justice issues in the social work classroom.								
2.	Deliver two teaching methods designed to address sexuality social justice issues with social work students.								
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters								
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4				
Program Content									
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social and Economic Justice

Session # 146Human Rights and Social Justice: Curricular InnovationsJanice M Davis, Howard University
Sandra Edmonds Crewe, Howard University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe methods for infusing social justice into the curriculum, especially in field education.				
2.	Discuss a multi-level platform for teaching social justice in real time across the curriculum.				
3.	Describe and demonstrate course activities to provide integration of social justice materials resulting in cohort cohesion				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social Welfare Policy and Policy Practice

Session # 147 Keeping Social Welfare Policy Relevant: Experiences From a Young Social Work Educator

David Lee Ferrell

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
---	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		4		
1.	Apply policy practice to the classroom.				
2.	Describe strategies for engaging students in the call to action in policy practice.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Spirituality

Session # 148

The Integration of Religion and Spirituality Into Graduate Clinical Social Work Curriculum

Jim Boyd, Walla Walla University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 7 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Discuss the value of clinician and client religion/spirituality as resources in clinical practice.				
2.	Describe one approach to integrating spirituality and religion into the MSW curriculum.				
3.	Evaluate student responses to participation in a course on religion and spirituality.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 150 Teaching Teaching, Learning Learning, and Writing Writing Bruce Friedman, California State University, Bakersfield Karen Allen, Arkansas State University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe techniques that assess student learning and maximize outcomes.				
2.	Articulate at least one new strategy for assessing student learning outcomes in relationship to EPAS.				
3.	Articulate methods that assist social work students in critical thinking and writing				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 152Global Web-Based Learning: Designing a BSW Degree ProgramLindsay Dianne Shepard, University of Utah
Kara Mileski, University of Utah
Angelea Panos, University of Utah

Deter Friday, October 24, 2014	Time. 1.45.00 DM	Room: Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)
Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	11116. 1.45.00 FIM	Room Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Produce preliminary ideas and designs for transitioning the instruction of BSW core competencies and course objectives to an online format.				
2.	Discuss how Web-based communities and partnerships might be developed online and across domestic and international groups.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 153Discernment, Reflection, and Decision Making: Teaching Students How to
Resolve Ethical Dilemmas

Linda Reeser, Western Michigan University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 13 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether object	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:				
Rating Scale: 1 poor	/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	2	2 3	4	
1. Teach students to devel identify ethical dilemmas	op ethical self-reflection, recognize ethical issues, and S.				
2. Convey ethical decision ambiguity and discomform	making models, apply them to practice, and tolerate the transmission of the making choices.				
Part 2: Program Content/Prese	enters				
Rating Scale: 1 poor	strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	2	2 3	4	
Program Content					
1. The presentation was us	eful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2. The presenters' knowled	lge and expertise met my expectations.				
3. The presentation was cl	ear and effective.				
Presenters					
4. The presenter/s showed	subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5. The presenter/s present	ation was clear and effective.				
6. The presenter/s were we	ell prepared and attentive to questions				
7. I would attend another p	resentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 157

Creating a Trauma-Informed System of Care: Partnerships, Facilitative Factors, Barriers, and Special Considerations

Jennifer Middleton, University of Main Nina Esaki, ANDRUS Judith Josiah-Martin, Lenard Kaye, University of Maine

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 1:45:00 PM

Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe an approach for cross-systems collaboration within child welfare that includes participatory action research.				
2.	Identify the tenets of trauma-informed care and mechanisms for integrating this approach into collaborations involving systems of care.				
3.	Describe factors affecting systems-level collaboration and trauma-informed care implementation based on project research.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 167

Recognizing and Overcoming Barriers to Cross-Cultural Communication: A Social Work Perspective

Louis Guardiola, Bowling Green State University Mamta U. Ojha, Bowling Green State University Margaret (Peggy) Adams, Bowling Green State University Shay Bankston

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Room 24 (Tampa Convention Center)
--------------------------------	------------------	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Identify effective methods, strategies, and techniques for unbiased communication in social work practice.					
2.	Discuss the importance of language use, interpretation needs, and communication style.					
3.	Apply increased self-awareness of one's own culture and other cultures to practice.					
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	iters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Immigrants, Refugees, and Displaced Populations

Session # 178	Migration and Child Well-Being: At the Border of Immigration and Child Welfare
	Thomas M. Crea, Boston College
	Sunny Harris Rome
	Robin Hernandez-Mekonnen, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey Alan J. Dettlaff, University of Illinois at Chicago
	Room: Grand Ballroom Salon A (Marriott Tampa Bay

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 1:45:00 PM **Room:** Grand Ballroom Salon A (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree							
1.	Articulate the relationship between the rapidly changing landscape of migration to the United States and its effect on social work practice and child welfare.						
2.	Describe current federal policy related to immigration and its effects on family and child well-being.						
3.	Identify areas where further research is needed to answer critical questions related to practices and outcomes for migrant children and families.						
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	iters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 189 Conducting International and First Nations Doctoral Dissertations: Implications for Social Work Education Hollee McGinnis, Washington University in St. Louis

Sarah Myers-Tlapek, University of Washington in St. Louis Jessica Black, Washington University in St. Louis Lisa Reyes Mason, University of Tennessee at Knoxville

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree							
1.	Identify issues and barriers encountered by doctoral students considering primary data collection outside the United States or within First Nations.							
2.	Describe a plan to conduct field research, including mixed-methods and qualitative study designs, in international and First Nations settings.							
3.	Develop a feasible and high-quality dissertation proposal or funding request.							
4.	Articulate ways to support doctoral students as they prepare for and conduct international or First Nations dissertations and seek an academic job.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 192 The Next Generation of Social Work Educators: Reflections From Doctoral Students
Holly K. Oxhandler
Traber D. Giardina, University of Houston
Jacquelynn Duron, University of Houston

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 1:45:00 PMRoom: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree						
1.	Identify strategies that can assist PhD students to effectively educate social work students.						
2.	Describe approaches to teaching that are based on educational opportunities, collaboration with faculty mentors, use of technology, and evaluation.						
3.	Articulate the relationship between doctoral student experiences in learning how to teach and the advancement of social work education for MSW students.						
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree						
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 199Transformation in Child Welfare: Leveraging IV-E Education Outcomes Using
Organizational Effectiveness Strategies

Helen Cahalane, University of Pittsburgh Liz Winter, University of Pittsburgh

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 13 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree						4		
	1.	Describe the goals of federal Title IV-E educational partnership programs.						
	2.	Identify the main factors associated with retention of IV-E graduates in child welfare.						
	3.	Identify areas for organizational enhancement that can affect the retention of IV-E graduates in child welfare.						
Par	t 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					3	4		
Program Content								
	1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
	2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
	3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Pre	sen	ters						
	4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
	5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
	6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
	7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 200 Meeting the Needs of Diverse Students in Rural MSW Programs Brittany Brown, Radford University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
***R	1	2	3	4			
1. Disc	cuss the critical role of diverse voices in social work classrooms.						
	culate strategies to recruit and retain diverse individuals in predominantly White itutions.						
	cribe collaborative methods that can assist in dismantling policies and practices support educational divides and inequalities.						
Part 2: Prog	gram Content/Presenters						
R	ating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Program Content							
1. The	presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2. The	presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3. The	presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4. The	presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5. The	presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6. The	presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7. I wo	ould attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Educational Outcomes Assessment

Session # 204 Using a Structured Clinical Examination to Assess the Practice Evaluation Competencies of Students

Robert Hock, University of South Carolina Daniel B. Freedman, University of South Carolina

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 3		Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
--	--	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree						
1	۱.	Develop and implement a structured clinical examination in a master's-level practice evaluation class.					
2	2.	Describe the implications for developing practice evaluation skills, assessing practice behaviors, and creating competency benchmarks.					
3	3.	Describe practice evaluation strategies.					
Part	2:	Program Content/Presenters					
		Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content							
1	۱.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2	2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3	3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Pres	en	iters					
4	1.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5	5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6	б.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7	7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Evidence-Based Practice

 Session # 205
 Using and Sharing Open Access Resources for Teaching Evidence-Based Practice

 Joanne Yaffe, University of Utah
 Joanne Shepard, University of Utah

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Explain the role of open-access materials within a hybrid model of teaching evidence-based practice in social work.							
2.	Describe the potential advantages of sharing open-access materials relevant to evidence-based practice in social work across programs.							
3.	Articulate ways to develop a consortium of educators to work toward development of a library of open-access educational resources for teaching evidence-based practice in social work.							
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 208Weaving Skills-Based Language Into the Fabric of the Practicum ExperienceJason Eccker, Washington University in St. Louis

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe how the use of skills-based learning enriches students' perception of the overall field experience and opportunities for professional competency.						
2.	Articulate benefits of initiating and supporting processes for structured reflection with students, field instructors, and field advisers						
3.	Describe the importance of touch-points over the course of the practicum in shaping student reflection through skills-based language and work characteristics						
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Military Social Work

Session # 212	From Bo	ots to Books: Promotin	g the Success of Students Who Are Veterans
	Vaughn De	eCoster, University of South	ern Indiana
	Catherine	A. Simmons, University of N	<i>I</i> emphis
	David Brin	ghurst	
	Eugenia W	eiss, University of Southerr	n California
Date: Friday, Octob	or 2/4 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Hotel)
Date. Fliday, October 24, 2014	Time. 3.30.00 Pivi	Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
1.	Articulate strengths in military and civilian cultures and challenges in postcombat readjustment that can occur for student veterans.					
2.	Describe strengths-based (resiliency-oriented) strategies to promote readjustment for student veterans and their families during and/or after a military deployment.					
3.	Describe ways to promote academic and interpersonal growth for student veterans and their families.					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions	1				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social Welfare Policy and Policy Practice					
Session # 214	Reframing Poverty for Effective Policy Gains				
	Lily K. French, University of Iowa				
	Darwe Marth				

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014		Room: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
--------------------------------	--	---

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4	
1. Provide a more realistic and updated measurement of basic living expense	s.				
2. Produce a localized cost-of-living estimate by applying methodology to stat	e data.				
3. Create advocacy strategies appropriate to a particular policy context.					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4	
Program Content					
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical nee	ds.				
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3. The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters					
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Inspiring Social Work Values: Enhancing Social Work Education Through Session # 215 Socialization and Gatekeeping Patricia Galan-Cisneros, Our Lady of the Lake University Phyllis Thompson, Our Lady of the Lake University Cynthia Medina, Our Lady of the Lake University Rebecca Gomez, Our Lady of the Lake University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4
1.	Describe the types of student dispositions and temperaments that can affect effective social work practice.				
2.	Describe the faculty role and responsibility in addressing student behavior that does not reflect professional standards in social work.				
3.	Articulate socialization and gatekeeping interventions that address unprofessional behavior exhibited in the learning environment.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Program Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 216	Systematic Use of Simulation to Develop Competence in Social Work Students
	Marion Bogo, University of Toronto
	Michael Saini
	Shelley L. Craig, University of Toronto

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 24 (Tampa Convention Center)
	11110. 0.00.00 1 W	Room 24 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1. Describe a simulation that teaches specific EPAS competencies.					
2. Describe case scenarios that simulate EPAS competencies.					
 Use simulations to further identify and refine practice behaviors and skills associated with competencies. 					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4	
Program Content					
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3. The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters					
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 218	Bridge to	the Future: Preparing	Students for Licensure and Prudent Careers
	Angela Aus	sbrooks, Texas State Unive	rsity-San Marcos
	•	ble, Texas State University	•
	Andrew T.	Marks, Texas State Univers	sity-San Marcos
	Mary Jo Mo	onahan, Association of Soc	ial Work Boards
Date: Friday, Octob	er 24 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 12 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Hotel)
--------------------------------	------------------	--------

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Create and use nontraditional educational methods to connect practice, ethics, and licensure.						
2.	Describe a case brought before a state licensing board in which a social worker was accused of violating ethics.						
3.	Describe ways to integrate faculty members as role models and resources as students navigate the licensure process.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Session # 224	Collective Trauma: Healing Souls in the Urban Church Through Social Work Practice					
	Richard Bense	y, Howard University on, Washington Hospital e, Arlington County Soci				
Date: Friday, October 24	, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Grand Ballroom Salon A (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)			

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Describe the effect of historical trauma on African Americans.							
2.	Describe three faith-based treatment modalities used to address historical trauma. •							
3.	Critically assess the potential effectiveness of the treatment modalities with other environments.							
Part 2	Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4			
Program Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Community Organization and Social Administration

Session # 225Advancing Social Work Education With Financial Capability PracticeJulie Birkenmaier, Saint Louis University
Sally A. Hageman, University of Maryland, Baltimore
Jason Castillo, University of UtahDate: Friday, October 24, 2014Time: 3:30:00 PMRoom: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

Dout 4.	Learning Objectives				
	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	hlo	to.		
				2	4
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		2	3	4
1.	Identify the basic components of a curriculum on financial capability and asset development (FCAB) and evidence regarding factors that facilitate or impede the inclusion of FCAB content in professional social work education				
2.	Describe the approach of undergraduate and graduate students to incorporating FCAB into their practice and their attitudes regarding the incorporation of FCAB content into the curriculum.				
3.	Describe graduate social work students' level of financial literacy, self-efficacy, and comfort working with client finances.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Transgender Issues

Session # 250

Challenges and Opportunities in Teaching and Measuring LGBTQ Competencies With Students Megan E. Gandy, Virginia Commonwealth University

Lori Messinger, University of North Carolina at Wilmington Elizabeth Paula Cramer, Virginia Commonwealth University

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014

Time: 3:30:00 PM **Room:** Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives

	Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	1	2	3	4					
 Articulate the strengths and limitations of the idea of practice competencies related to LGBTQ individuals, couples, families, and communities. 									
2.	Describe social work practice with LGBTQ populations and issues within the competing frameworks of practice competencies, cultural humility, and culturally-informed practice.								
3.	Identify complicated classroom dynamics, such as students' emotional triggers, that may affect student learning about practice with LGBTQ populations.								
4.	Implement strategies to manage critical teaching moments that may hinder students' ability to learn about culturally appropriate practice with LGBTQ populations.								
5.	Delineate the differences between tools used to assess student competence, predicted professional behaviors, values, knowledge, and skills as related to LGBTQ populations.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Presenters									
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.	\square							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

Session # 258Teaching Empowerment Evaluation: Concepts and ExamplesLarry Ortiz, Loma Linda UniversitySusanne Montgomery, Loma Linda UniversityPatricia Villa, Loma Linda UniversityVictoria Jackson

Date: Friday, October 24, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 9 (Tampa Convention Center)

	: Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ole	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe empowerment evaluation and its relevance and potential in the social work curriculum.				
2.	Demonstrate concepts of empowerment evaluation through case examples that connect community-based translational participant action research with empowerment evaluation.				
3.	Identify ways that empowerment evaluation fits into 2008 EPAS				
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		2	3	4
Progr	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Prese	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Rural Issues

Session # 260	Infusing Rur	al Content Into Soci	al Work Curriculum
	,	n, Arkansas State Univerkansas State Universit	,
Date: Friday, October 24	, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Identify rewards and challenges faced by social workers in rural communities.							
2.	Describe the way in which resources such as fiction and nonfiction can help students explore their assumptions about rural communities and residents							
3.	Articulate strategies for infusing content on rural-based social work into social work curricula and describe issues that may arise regarding curricula that may be too narrowly context bound							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: African Americans and the African Diaspora

Session # 267Incorporating Afrocentric Perspectives in Teaching Social Work Practice With
African-Descended Persons

Leslie D. Hollingsworth, University of Michigan

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 13 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Define Africentricity and explain its underlying history, principles, and values and its relevance as a framework for practice.							
2.	Describe one tested Africentric model of practice and demonstrate its application in engaging, assessing, and intervening with African-descended individuals and families.							
3.	Describe approaches and challenges to delivering and assessing an Africentric perspective in a an MSW program.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Community Organization and Social Administration

Session # 269

Teaching Volunteer Recruitment for Community Interventions: Applying Micro Skills in Complex Settings

Donna Hardina, California State University, Fresno

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe three ways in which recruitment methods can be used in community practice						
2.	Identify at least five different recruitment strategies.						
3.	Conduct a one-on-one recruitment interview						
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	iters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Group Work

Session # 272

Promoting Resilience Through Group Work: Teaching Strategies, Techniques, and Case Material

Alex Gitterman

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014		Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
----------------------------------	--	--

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Identify core concepts of resilience theory and adversarial growth.				
2.	Articulate how group work promotes client empowerment and hardiness.				
3.	Engage in teaching strategies that promote students' understanding of resilience, adversarial growth, and the role of group work in these qualities.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 273 Eliciting Humility in the Cultural Competence of Students Engaged in International Activities

Kathleen A. Kost, University at Buffalo, State University of New York

Data: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	1111e. 8.00.00 AM	Hotel)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the differences between cultural humility and culture competence.				
2.	Identify strategies for reducing the paternalistic responses of students to situations outside their personal cultural context.				
3.	Infuse content on humility in their respective curriculum.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: ____



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Transgender Issues

Session # 274	Integrating a Community-Based LGBTQ Youth Research Agenda in Social Work
	Megan S. Paceley, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign\
	Shelley L. Craig, University of Toronto
	M. Alex Wagaman, Virginia Commonwealth University
	Megan E. Gandy, Virginia Commonwealth University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the value of research on community-based interventions and practices as a contribution to existing research knowledge on LGBTQ youth				
2.	Identify primary challenges to incorporating community-based research into a research agenda on LGBTQ youth.				
3.	Describe strategies to overcoming the primary barriers to community-based research on LGBTQ youth				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Military Social Work

Session # 275

In Field and Classroom: Social Work Students Serving Student Veterans Roger Sherwood, Hunter College, City University of New York Leora Shudofsky, Hunter College, City University of New York

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

	: Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Identify key components of PROVE, a resiliency-based model of service delivery.				
2.	Present components of PROVE's biweekly trainings for graduate-level social work interns.				
3.	Describe ways of introducing military cultural competency into social work curriculum.				
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progr	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Prese	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social Welfare Policy and Policy Practice

Session # 276	Integrating	Comparative Social	Welfare Theory Into Social Work Education
	Anupama Ja	cob, Azusa Pacific Univer	rsity
	Mary Caplan	, University of Georgia	
	Phyllis Jerosl	low, University of Californ	ia at Berkeley
Date: Saturday, Oct	ober 25, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Hotel)

Dort 1.	Learning Objectives				
	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1		3	4
1.	Discuss how basic differences among welfare states can inform social work practice and education.				
2.	Identify concrete ways for social work educators to integrate comparative welfare state education into their curricula across BSW and MSW programs.				
3.	Describe the wayin whicha comparative understanding of welfare states can strengthen advocacy for social and economic justice.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 277

Using Solution-Focused Instruction Techniques in Online Course Delivery Denise Krause, University at Buffalo, State University of New York Steve Sturman, University at Buffalo, State University of New York

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Dato: Catalady, Colober 20, 2011		Hotel)

	Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Contrast a traditional teaching paradigm with a solution-focused paradigm.				
2.	Describe solution-focused instruction assumptions and online solution-focused instruction skills.				
3.	Describe solution-focused teaching techniques in the online environment, apply these to a particular teaching style, and develop an action plan for implementing solution-focused skills online				
Part 2	Program Content/Presenters			,	
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presei	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 278

Continuing Education: Advancing Community, Faculty, and Student Engagement and Collaboration

Andrew T. Marks, Texas State University-San Marcos Theresa Kreif, University of HawaiÂ'i at Manoa Elizabeth Nowicki, University of Texas at Austin

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014

Time: 8:00:00 AM

Room: Grand Ballroom Salon B (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe modalities for delivery of continuing professional education via traditional and digital methods and apply those to particular learning and technological environments.					
2.	Describe the transition from knowledge to competency in professional conduct and growth, including the role of technology in this process.					
3.	Describe how collaboration between institutions of higher education and their larger communities can enrich scholarship, research, creative activity, curriculum, teaching, and career-long learning.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presen	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 279Just a Link Away: Engaging Social Work Students Through Social MediaRebecca Gomez, Our Lady of the Lake University
Patricia Galan-Cisneros, Our Lady of the Lake UniversityDate: Saturday, October 25, 2014Time: 8:00:00 AMRoom: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Understand the role of social media in supporting social work education.							
2.	Identify barriers to using social media in the classroom and tools for minimizing them.							
3.	Create a class assignment using social media.							
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4			
Program Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 280

Retention Management Strategies in Online Social Work Education R. Paul Maiden, University of Southern California Brad Adams, 2U, Inc.

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:			
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Articulate the differences between online students and traditional campus-based students and develop appropriate student support services to ensure academic success.					
2.	Develop strategies for early engagement of students in online learning environments.					
3.	Match intervention methods to measurable student needs and provide multiple access points to support services.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	iters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters ***Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree*** Program Content 1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. 2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. 3. The presentation was clear and effective. Presenters 4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise. 5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 281	End-of-Life Decision Making: Preparing Students for Challenging Ethical Discussions
	Allan E. Barsky, Florida Atlantic University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 7 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
----------------------------------	------------------	--

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					
1.	Engage students in discussion of end-of-life issues using the "Five Wishes" framework.					
2.	Articulate cultural, political, and legal factors affecting end-of-life decision making.					
3.	Provide students with a process-oriented framework for engaging clients and family members in discussions of ethical issues arising from end-of-life decision-making situations.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4	
Progra	im Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	nters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Addictions

Session # 283

Integrating Substance Abuse Assessment and Treatment Skills Into Social Work Curricula

Kimberly H. McManama O'Brien, Simmons College Jennifer Putney, Simmons College Marianne Pugatch, Boston Children's Hospital

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)
----------------------------------	------------------	---

	art 1: Learning Objectives ease evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe the problem of the limited knowledge of substance abuse assessment and treatment skills in graduates of social work programs.					
2.	Describe how Motivational Interviewing courses and SBIRT training programs can incorporate substance abuse knowledge into social work curricula.					
3.	Describe strategies for and challenges of integrating substance abuse assessment and treatment skills into social work education.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 284Field of Dreams to Reality: Evaluating Motivational Interviewing in Public Child
Welfare Education

Caroline Long Burry, University of Maryland, Baltimore Debra Linsenmeyer, University of Maryland, Baltimore Christopher Wirt, University of Maryland, Baltimore Kevin Wade, University of Maryland, Baltimore

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	art 1: Learning Objectives ease evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe the development of a random controlled trial on teaching Motivational Interviewing in public child welfare education field and classroom settings, including advantages of and barriers to the process.					
2.	Describe the effect of the random-controlled trial on public child welfare students and public child welfare education.					
3.	Employ a manualized curriculum, simulated clients, and live supervision to teach Motivational Interviewing skills and competencies.					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 298

Experiential Social Work Education for Child Welfare Supervisors: Learning Beyond the Classroom

Pamela Weeks, University of Kentucky Stephanie Ratliff, University of Kentucky Dana Sullivan, Western Kentucky University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 11:00:00 AM	Room: Room 13 ((Tampa Convention Center)
----------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------------------

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe a curriculum for child welfare supervisors that provides strategies and tools to support evidence-informed, trauma-focused casework practice in the field.					
2.	Describe examples of experiential fieldwork and transfer of learning elements built into the curriculum to promote learning and application outside of the classroom.					
3.	Describe a hybrid course delivery method and findings from initial evaluation of the curriculum.					
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 301 Beyond Practice "Tips": Emphasizing Sociocultural Theory in Practice Courses Rosalyn Denise Campbell, University of Georgia Mary Kate Dennis, University of Kansas Room: Grand Ballroom Salon B (Marriott Tampa Bay Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM

Waterside Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	123					
1.	Explain the importance of emphasizing sociocultural theory in social work practice courses.						
2.	Identify sociological, cultural, and psychological theories to better understand diverse client populations.						
3.	Modify curricula and syllabi to more explicitly reflect a theory-informing-practice orientation, thereby increasing students' cultural and practice competence.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 302

Sign Language and Cultural Etiquette: Essential Skills for Working With Deaf People Angela M. Nonaka, School of Social Work Leah Geer, Department of Linguistics

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be at	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Foster accessible and inclusive communicative environments for deaf individuals.				
2.	Perform some basic words and expressions in American Sign Language such as manual alphabet, greetings, self-introductions, and words and expressions pertinent to social work.				
3.	Identify and implement culturally appropriate strategies for working with signing deaf clients, students, and colleagues in social work education and practice.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Educational Outcomes Assessment

Session # 303 A Model for Developing a Competency-Based Curriculum: Using an AGP Example Gloria Messick Svare, University of Nevada, Reno Denise Montcalm, University of Nevada, Reno

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 11 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:									
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4					
1.	Describe an approach to curriculum development that may be useful for programs with a specialization in advanced generalist practice.									
2.	Apply this approach to an example of curriculum development.									
3.	Describe strategies that may be applied to programs and encompass conceptualization, curriculum design, and course development.									
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters									
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4					
Progra	m Content									
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.									
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.									
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.									
Presen	ters									
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.									
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.									
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions									
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.									

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 305

Exploring an Operational Structure for Positioning Field Education as Signature Pedagogy

Mindy Wertheimer, Georgia State University Mimi Sodhi, Salisbury University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AMRoom: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1	. Identify an operational structure to support field education as signature pedagogy.				
2	. Describe innovative options that engage the field director in social work education.				
Part	2: Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Prog	ram Content				
1	. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2	. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3	. The presentation was clear and effective.				
Pres	enters				
4	. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5	. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6	. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7	. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 307 The Role of Field Education in Promoting Resiliency in Students Amy Bullas, California State University, Monterey Bay

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:									
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4					
1.	Identify concrete ways of supporting student resiliency within the field curriculum.									
2.	Describe a developing field education model that promotes resiliency, self-care, and mindfulness among students.									
3.	Describe the possible of expanded roles for field education programs in preparing social work students for the profession.									
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters									
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4					
Progra	m Content									
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.									
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.									
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.									
Preser	iters									
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.									
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.									
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions									
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.									

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 308	Using a Simulated Client to Teach Evidence-Based Interventions: Keeping It Real
	Elizabeth S. Phillips, University of Southern California Gary Wood, 2U, Inc.

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Create a simulated client script that incorporates evidence-based clinical challenges.								
2.	Apply a technique designed to minimize students' performance anxiety.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Presen	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 310

Ethical Decision Making and Considerations in International Internships and Experiential Service-Learning Programs

Ann Petrila, University of Denver

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Identify ethical issues involved in taking students to international locations.								
2.	Analyze ethical decision-making models as they relate to international social work experiences for students and faculty members.								
3.	Describe a multidisciplinary model of ethical decision making and its relevance to social work education.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	iters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Transgender Issues

Session # 312 Building a Gay-Affirmative Social Work Practice

Erica Caton, University of Louisville

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AMRoom: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	-0	2	3	4			
1.	Describe the role of affirming terminology in interacting with LGBT youth and co- workers.							
2.	Demonstrate at least three gay-affirmative practice skills or actions that can be incorporated into practice.							
3.	Identify at least two strategies for evaluating the strength and/or effectiveness of gay-affirmative practice skills.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	iters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 314"Say Cheese": Social Work and Photography Students Collaborate Through
Cultural Digital Storytelling

Kendra P. DeLoach McCutcheon, Syracuse University Karen Watkins, Georgia State University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Create and conduct a presentation using collaborative cultural digital storytelling with face-to-face oral presentation or voiceover formats.								
2.	Implement formulation and management tools for facilitating collaborative learning in cultural digital storytelling projects.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	iters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 315 Using R to Build Research Skills and Research Capacity in Master's-Level Students Charles Auerbach, Yeshiva University Wendy Zeitlin, Yeshiva University Susan Mason, Yeshiva University Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay W

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AMRoom: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Describe the benefits of using R to build research capacity in social service agencies.								
2.	Explain how to download R and other free resources related to R.								
3.	Describe how R can be used in educational settings such as face-to-face classes and distance-learning environments.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Presen	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 316

Incivility Among Social Work Students: A Threat to the Implicit Curriculum

Lynette V. Reed Phyllis Black, Marywood University Andrea Marcolla, Marywood University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:									
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4					
1.	Describe the prevalence and types of incivility in social work programs.									
2.	Articulate factors that contribute to uncivil, disrespectful student behavior.									
3.	Describe initiatives for promoting civil discourse in social work programs.									
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters									
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4					
Progra	m Content									
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.									
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.									
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.									
Preser	ters									
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.									
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.									
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions									
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.									

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 317	Online Education in Clinical Social Work: Ethical Implications
	Dawn Higgins Gilbert Singletary, Walden University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 11:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 7 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
----------------------------------	-------------------	--

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants w	vill be able	to:		
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1. Describe the pedagogical views of online learning in clinical social work prog	rams.			
2. Describe ethical obligations of social work educators related to online method	dology.			
 Articulate the values and principles pertaining to online education in clinical s work programs. 	ocial			
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters				
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Program Content				
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs	S.			
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3. The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters				
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 328Intercultural Professional Workforce Implications for Effective Engagement
With Traumatized African American Adolescent Males

Ralph Belk, National Center for Children and Families (NCCF) Dr. Sheryl Brissett Chapman, National Center for Children and Families

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe youth and staff perspectives regarding trauma manifestations and methods of effective engagement of youth in out-of-home placement.				
2.	Articulate qualitative and quantitative findings on the relationship between trauma and culture.				
3.	Describe the role of staff diversity in a treatment team serving African American male adolescents exhibiting complex trauma symptoms.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 331

Developing and Mentoring Emerging Social Work Leaders Carroll Phelps, University of Alabama

Alison Bramer, McKinley Advisors; Becky Corbett,

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe a structured leadership program for students.				
2.	Prepare students for professional presence, assisting them in maximizing individual strengths, setting measurable and specific goals, developing a personal leadership style, understanding emotional intelligence, fostering positive traits, and managing weaknesses effectively.				
3.	Establish partnerships between schools of social work and the social work community that provide students with leadership guidance of social workers and social work leaders in all levels of practice.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Higher Education/Nonprofit Leadership

 Session # 340
 Cultivating a Pipeline for Women of Color Leaders and Administrators in Academia

 Halaevalu Vakalahi, Morgan State University
 Cheryl Waites, Wayne State University

 Anna McPhatter, Morgan State University
 Anna McPhatter, Morgan State University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AMRoom: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe frameworks and perspectives on womanist/feminist leadership and critical steps for developing a pipeline for women of color to enter decision-making positions in the academy.				
2.	Describe interpersonal connections, mentorship, structural relationships, and logistics involved in advancing into leadership and administrative positions.				
3.	Describe dynamics, issues, challenges, and strengths unique to leaders who are women of color and their implications for social and economic justice in leadership.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				Ē

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Interprofessional and Transdisciplinary Practice

Session # 348

Joining Forces: An Intercollegiate Approach to Teaching Interprofessional, Patient-Centered Military Health Care

Alice K. Kim, University of Southern California Carl Castro, School of Social Work Madeleine Bruning, Sherrie Wilcox

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Identify ways in which a collaborative care approach can address unique characteristics and health-care needs of military clients.				
2.	Identify the elements of a standardized patient case scenario that can be used to teach students from different disciplines about working with military clients.				
3.	Describe strategies for teaching students from different disciplines to develop a collaborative health-care plan.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

Session # 358	Using Field Institutions	Education as a Venu	e for Student Research at Nonresearch
	Callie Reed Dashia Stantz	hholz, Northern Kentuck	
Date: Saturday, Octobe	er 25, 2014	Time: 11:00:00 AM	Room: Grand Ballroom Salon A (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

		Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able	to:		
		Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1	1.	Apply strategies for implementing research projects during field placement.				
2	2.	Describe strategies for expanding community capacity for research that involve student-led research studies.				
3	3.	Address challenges to conducting research at a university that is not focused on research.				
Part	2:	Program Content/Presenters				
		Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Prog	gra	m Content				
	1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2	2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3	3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Pres	er	ters				
2	4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
Ę	5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6	б.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7	7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 376

Preparing Social Workers as Mandated Reporters Through Field Education/Instruction

Kathryn S. Krase, Long Island University-Brooklyn Campus

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Articulate the role of social workers as mandated reporters in the United States.				
2.	Describe the role of field education in preparing social workers to be mandated reporters.				
3.	Integrate new content into programs and enhance existing content that ultimately improves reporting of suspected child abuse and neglect.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Session # 377

Evidence-Based Treatment of Borderline Personality Disorder: Comparing Two Models of Treatment

Melissa McCardle, Molloy College Susan B. Bliss, Molloy College

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 1.45.00 PM	Room: Room 11 (Tampa Convention Center)
		reem. Reem in (rampa convention center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the major tenets of two practice models for the treatment of borderline personality disorder: dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) and mentalization-based treatment (MBT).				
2.	Describe the current evidence supporting the efficacy of each treatment model.				
3.	Describe treatment outcomes of DBT and MBT.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Community Organization and Social Administration Session # 378 Policy Change in Action: A Case Study in Building a Revenue Coalition

00331011 # 07

Kristi L. Law, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater Lily K. French, University of Iowa

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Tim

ne: 1:45:00 PM

Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1		3	4
1.	Articulate the influences of coalitions on policy.				
2.	Describe strategies that can nurture the successful action of a coalition.				
3.	Convey to social work students how to effectively facilitate coalition building for policy change.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Educational Outcomes Assessment

Session # 379 Competencies and Their Assessment: What Educators Need to Consider James Drisko, Smith College

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Identify, critically appraise, and apply several core concepts in competency outcome assessment.				
2.	Identify, distinguish, and critically evaluate the strengths and limitations of several widely used measures of competency outcome assessment				
3.	Apply different approaches to aggregating the yield of competency outcome measures, shifting from student-level assessment to aggregate program assessment.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 381Helping Students Integrate Core Competencies: The Learning PortfolioChristine Ford, California State University, Fullerton
Marcella Mendez, California State University, Fullerton

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Date. Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time. 1.45.00 FM	Hotel)

	: Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Explain how the learning portfolio can be applied to field education.				
2.	Describe how the learning portfolio can enhance mastery of the 10 competencies and integration of the educational sequence.				
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Prese	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 382

Parallel Process in Field Education: An Important Component of the Implicit Curriculum

Phyllis Black, Marywood University Eric Stein, Marywood University Christina C. Gigler, Marywood University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 13 (Tampa Conve
--

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Describe the intuitive and intentional roles of the parallel process in field education.							
2.	Describe possible effects on student learning that may result from the field instructor's implementation of parallel process.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Program Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 384

The Benefits and Challenges of Nontraditional Field Placements in Social Work Education

Susan Elswick, University of Memphis Elena Delavega, University of Memphis Steve Soifer, University of Memphis Jeanine Claiborne

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
----------------------------------	------------------	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe new ways to view social work field placements that provide opportunities for collaborative work and engaged scholarship.						
2.	Describe sample learning plans that meet CSWE requirements.						
3.	Describe the benefits, costs, and challenges in the development and supervision of nontraditional field placements in social work education.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Health

Session # 386DSM 5: Implications for Curriculum Development and ImplementationGoutham Menon, University of Nevada, Reno
Maureen Rubin, University of Nevada, Reno

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe key changes in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual 5.						
2.	Describe new disorders added or shuffled in the DSM 5.						
3.	Describe the relevance and significance of DSM 5 changes for social work practice and apply that knowledge to the classroom setting.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Health

Session # 387

Integrated Care and the Future of Social Work Practice: Challenges and Opportunities

Nicole M. Dubus, Wheelock College Janna C. Heyman, Fordham University Victoria Stanhope, New York University Rebekah A Schiefer, Oregon Health Science University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014

Time: 1:45:00 PM

Room: Grand Ballroom Salon B (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					
1. Describe the effect of the Affordable Care Act on social work practice in integrated care within primary care and behavioral settings.						
2.	Describe curriculum changes that can prepare students for an integrated primary care environment.					
3.	Describe how sustainability for social work in integrated health care might be pursued.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 388 Building Partnerships With China: Lessons Learned From Professional Training Program Collaborations

Douglas Behan, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey Shuang Lu, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey Andrew J. Germak, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
---	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Identify cultural factors that will affect a training program for Chinese participants.							
2.	Describe the role of mixed modalities in training programs and ways to overcome cultural differences in traditional learning styles.							
3.	Describe two differences in U.S. and Chinese negotiating styles.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Program Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Military Social Work

Session # 391Narrative Approaches (Warrior Writers, PhotoVoice) to Teaching and Practicing
Military Social Work

Lawrence Davidson, West Chester Universit Nadine M. Bean, West Chester University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 7 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
----------------------------------	------------------	--

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4			
1.	 Describe how narrative behavioral health approaches such as cognitive processing therapy, prolonged exposure therapy, Warrior Writers, and PhotoVoice can help service members, veterans, and their families (SMVF) affected by trauma. 							
2.	Describe the use of and efficacy of journaling and PhotoVoice in teaching and learning about the strengths and challenges of SMVF.							
3.	Use narrative tools in social work education to better prepare those planning to work with SMVF.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Time: 1:45:00 PM

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

Session # 392

Community-Based Participatory Research Methods With Communities of Color: A Skills Workshop Shanondora Billiot, Washington University in St. Louis Quenette Walton, University of Chicago

Lailea Noel

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014

Room: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Describe case studies aimed at improving the delivery of interventions and/or services to populations of color.							
2.	Identify mechanisms that incorporate community-based participatory research methods into practice.							
3.	Identify, describe, and implement concepts that can be used in and outside of the social work classroom to assist engagement in community-based participatory research with communities of color.							
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progr	am Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social and Economic Justice

Session # 394 Building Financial Self-Efficacy With Social Work Students: Helping Themselves, Helping Others

Christine Callahan, University of Maryland, Baltimore Carolyn J. Tice, University of Maryland, Baltimore County Sally A. Hageman, University of Maryland, Baltimore

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Define financial self-efficacy and distinguish among terms pertaining to financial knowledge, financial capability, and financial self-efficacy.								
2.	Implement workshops or training sessions that address students' financial needs.								
3.	Discuss the latest measures and surveys on evaluating financial knowledge, and link macro and micro issues related to financial stability and financial capability in education and practice.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Program Content									
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	nters								
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.									
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

 Session # 397
 Struggles and Strategies of Faculty of Color Teaching Diversity and Culture Courses

 Rosalyn Denise Campbell, University of Georgia

 Insuring Deray Terrant University of Michingn

Jessuina Perez-Teran, University of Michigan Mary Kate Dennis, University of Kansas Paul G. Wright, California State University, Monterey Bay

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe challenges that can occur when a faculty member of color teaches diversity and culture courses.					
2.	Describe strategies for improving the instructor's classroom experience in teaching courses with diversity and culture content.					
3.	Describe examples of teaching, pedagogy, and scholarship that pertain to diversity and culture courses offered by faculty members of color.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 399

Are Online Programs Compatible With Quality Social Work Education? David Stoesz, University of Illinois at Springfield Howard Karger

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe the effect of online education for social work on traditional public and private institutions						
2.	Describe "best practices" in online education for clinical classes and field instruction.						
3.	Describe the implications of the entrance of commercial firms into online social work education						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4		
Progra	am Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 401

Something FISH_E: Creating a Model of Flipped, Integrated, Shared, and Hybrid Learning Experiences

Danielle Wozniak, University of New England Kerry Dunn, University of New England David Prichard

Dutor Catalady, Cotobol 20, 2011 Inno. 1. 10.001 In Room 22 (Tampa Convolution Conton	Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center))
---	----------------------------------	------------------	---	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe the pedagogical benefits of a flipped classroom structure.					
2.	Maximize the pedagogical usefulness of face-to-face education in hybrid classes and online learning experiences.					
3.	Address obstacles and facilitate interprofessional learning experiences in a blended class.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: ___



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Baccalaureate Programs

Session # 407

Advancing Rural BSW Programs: Strengths and Challenges

Lynette Reitz, Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania Annjane Ross, Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania Nancy Sidell, Mansfield University of Pennsylvania Mary Daly, Mansfield University of Pennsylvania

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
----------------------------------	------------------	--

Part 1:	Part 1: Learning Objectives						
Please	evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able	to:				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe challenges associated with rural BSW programs that include curriculum, resources, and field education.						
2.	Describe strengths of rural BSW programs.						
3.	Apply aspects of rural BSW programs to other social work programs.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Gero-Ed (Aging and Gerontology)

Session # 425Infusing Person-Centered and Participant-Directed Competencies Into Required
Generalist Curriculum

Mark Sciegaj, The Pennsylvania State University Doreen Higgins, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay Nancy Hooyman, University of Washington Anissa Rogers, University of Portland

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe the person-centered and participant-directed (PC/PD) philosophy, service delivery, competencies, and role in community-based long-term services and supports, and implications for social work education and practice.						
2. Use the PC/PD Competencies Toolkit to select teaching resources for infusion into generalist social work courses.							
3. Apply strategies to engage faculty members, administrators, and students and infuse PC/PD competencies, content, and measures of student competency attainment into a generalist social work curriculum.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Baccalaureate Programs

Session # 451

Utilizing Boyer's Scholarship of Teaching to Build Knowledge in Social Work Education

Kathleen Woehrle, University of Michigan-Flint

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 13 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Identify the standards of scholarship within Boyer's Scholarship of Teaching.					
2.	Describe teaching tasks that can assist in knowledge building and/or knowledge dissemination in social work education.					
3.	Apply a rubric of Boyer's Scholarship of Teaching to a promotion and tenure portfolio.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			4		
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	iters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 452	Respondir	Responding to the Needs of the Growing Latino Population						
		a Garcia, Pacific Universit ger, Pacific University	y					
Date: Saturday, Octo	ober 25, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)					

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe changing demographics, needs of Latinos, and their effects on social work students in the 21st century.					
2.	Explain how curriculum choices in an MSW program can address Latino issues.					
3.	Integrate Latino issues for the development of a more culturally appropriate curriculum.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			3	4		
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 454 An Inne Semina		Delivery of Foundation Field Education			
	Andrea Carlin, California State University, Fresno Betty Garcia				
Date: Saturday, October 25, 201	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)			

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe how field seminars can be an effective component of signature pedagogy.				
2.	Identify common institutional barriers to field seminars and innovative curricula.				
3.	Describe strategies for overcoming barriers and supporting an innovative approach to field seminars.				
Part 2	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Program Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Prese	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 456	Meeting Co Model	mplex Challenges of	Field Education: Evaluation of the Field Unit
	Rebecca B. E	man, University of North Brigham, UNC at Chapel Deinse, University of No	
Date: Saturday, Octob	5	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe the elements of the field unit model.						
2.	Describe the benefits and challenges of the field unit model for students, field instructors, task supervisors, and field advisers.						
3.	Apply the field unit model as a sustainability strategy to other field education programs.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 457

Students Reflect on Their International Field Experience

Judi Ravenhorst Meerman, Kuyper College

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe how international field education can assist students in learning about human behavior, systems of social welfare, and ways to address social problems (Healy, 2001).					
2.	Describe a placement process for international field.					
3.	Describe results from a surevey of students who completed international field.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presen	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Higher Education/Nonprofit Leadership

 Session # 458
 Scholarly Networking: The "How-To's" of Making Professional Connections in the Academy

 Kia J. Bentley, Virginia Commonwealth University
 Kia J. Bentley, Virginia Commonwealth University

 Cory Cummings, Virginia Commonwealth University
 Desem: Macting Desem 11 (Marriett Tampe Dev/Wate

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Meeting Room 11 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the "why's" and "who's" of professional network building in academic social work and their relationship to social capital and career management.				
2.	Craft a personalized "professional development matrix" that outlines traditional and innovative strategies and techniques for connecting with others who have mutual interests.				
3.	Demonstrate competence in face-to-face professional networking, producing a compelling professional profile, and formulating critically informed questions useful in contacting scholars, researchers, and practitioners.				
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
I.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective.				
2.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
2. 3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
2. 3. Prese	The presentation was clear and effective. nters				
2. 3. Prese 4.	The presentation was clear and effective. nters The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Human Behavior and the Social Environment

 Session # 459
 A New Biopsychosocial Model: Incorporating Neurodevelopmental Concepts Into Social Work Education

 Candace Killian-Farrell, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Jennifer E. O'Brien, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014Time: 3:30:00 PMRoom: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe basic neurodevelopmental concepts applicable to a variety of social work practice areas.					
2.	Integrate neurodevelopmental concepts into different parts of the social work curriculum.					
3.	Demonstrate practical ways to teach neurodevelopmental concepts in the classroom.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	am Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	nters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Immigrants, Refugees, and Displaced Populations

Session # 460Field Education in Refugee Mental Health as Social Innovation and Engaged
Scholarship

Josh Hinson, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Laura Garlock, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 11 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe the steps for developing a partnership with local refugee resettlement and interpreter agencies that will build student capacity to serve refugee populations.					
2.	Describe competencies for social work practice with refugee populations and translate these into field education learning objectives, activities, and measurable student outcomes.					
3.	Describe implications for field education in mental health service delivery to refugee populations, research, replication, dissemination, professional training, and policy advocacy.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	*** Deting Cooler 4 no en/strong the discourse A succellent/strong the success ***		_	-		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	am Content	1	2	3	4	
Progra			2	3	4	
	am Content		2	3	4	
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.		2	3	4	
1. 2. 3.	am Content The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective.			3	4	
1. 2. 3.	am Content The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective.			3 		
1. 2. 3. Preser	am Content The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective. Inters					
1. 2. 3. Preser 4.	am Content The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective. Inters The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

 Session # 464
 Using G*Power to Calculate Sample Size and Power in Social Work Research

 Soleman Abu-Bader, Howard University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Meeting Room 7 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly	agree	1	2	3	4		
1. Describe the importance of selecting an appropriate sample size.							
2. Describe the factors that affect the sample size							
3. Use G*Power to compute the sample size needed for research.							
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4		
Program Content							
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clini	ical needs.						
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3. The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters							
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social Welfare Policy and Policy Practice

Session # 465	Well Fair: A Poverty Simulation for Policy Practice
	Stacey Borasky, St. Edward's University Miguel Ferguson, University of Texas at Austin
	Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 1 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
----------------------------------	------------------	--

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe the steps involved in running a poverty simulation as a class assignment.						
2.	Engage in a poverty simulation and describe the academic benefits that occur when students serve as caseworkers in this simulation.						
3.	Employ methods of evaluating student work in policy practice using a poverty simulation as an assignment.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	am Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	iters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 468 One MSW Program's Approach to Gatekeeping: Challenges and Opportunities

in Status Review

Donna M. McLaughlin, Boston University Mark Gianino, Boston University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Articulate the purpose and procedures that apply to an MSW program's gatekeeping function.							
2.	Describe the role of a status review committee in strengthening social work programs and serving students.							
3.	Identify strategies that can improve the capacity of social work programs to achieve successful gatekeeping and attend to ethics, confidentiality, diversity, due process, and reflection.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Gero-Ed (Aging and Gerontology)

Session # 495 Educating Students to Work With Well and Frail Elders in Rural Communities Kristina Hash, West Virginia University Denise Gammonley, University of Central Florida Lenard Kaye, University of Maine Denise Gammonley, University of Maine

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe challenges facing older adults, their informal support system, and their service providers in rural areas.						
2.	Discuss best practices in educating students to work competently with rural elders in varying degrees of health as well as their families, friends, and neighbors.						
3.	Apply competencies and standards to geriatric social work practice in rural areas.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Higher Education/Nonprofit Leadership

Session # 501From Idea to Accreditation: What Might Happen When You Leave the RoomAmy Restorick Roberts, Miami University
Carl Brun, Wright State University
W. Sean Newsome, Miami University
Lindsey Houlihan, Miami University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014

Time: 3:30:00 PMRoom: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
 Describe the political, administrative, and pedagogical reasons for two programs to collaborate on a joint program. 						
2.	Describe considerations involved in planning and implementing a joint program.					
3.	Apply skills and strategies to developing strengths and overcoming challenges in newly established collaborative programs.					
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presenters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 507	Study Abroad Timeline: Logistics and Safety—Beyond the Itinerary
	Andy Dunlap, Elizabethtown College
	Pat Lager, Florida State University
	Susan Mapp, Elizabethtown College
	Sally Mathiesen, San Diego State University
	Room: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:0	PM Room Hote	m: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott I)	Tampa Bay Waterside
---	-----------------	--	---------------------

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Identify key issues to be addressed in developing social work study abroad programs.						
2.	Describe a model of trip development that encompasses predeparture preparation, in-country implementation, and post-trip reflection.						
3.	Describe factors that will lessen institutional and personal liability.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: University-Community Partnerships

Session # 518 Forging Sustainable Community-Academic Collaborations: Invoking the Power of Participatory Action Research

Darlyne Bailey, Bryn Mawr College Melissa S. Emmerson, Bryn Mawr College Mariandl M. C. Hufford Donna S. Lindner

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	 Implement Participatory Action Research (PAR) within various community-based settings and course curricula, bridging "micro" and "macro" social work practice for effective service learning. 						
2.	Apply the skills and processes of PAR methodology.						
3.	Apply social work knowledge, values, and skills to create a culture of transformation in PreK-12 school settings.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Violence Against Women and Their Children

 Session # 520
 Research on Violence Against Women: Career Development and Classroom Applications

 Arlene Weisz, Wayne State University
 Beverly Black

 Noel Busch-Armendariz, University of Texas at Austin, School of Social Work
 Nada Elias-Lambert, Texas Christian University

Date: Saturday, October 25, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)
----------------------------------	------------------	---

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe how effective alliances may be formulated with practitioners who work in the field of violence against women.						
2.	Describe at least three types of research projects that may be conducted in the field of violence against women.						
3.	Describe how research pertaining to violence against women research can cause secondary traumatization.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree			2	3	4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: African Americans and the African Diaspora

 Session # 521
 Traumatic Grief Among African American Soldiers Exposed to Combat

 Oliver Johnson, Fayetteville State University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 13 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Explain how grief and loss may manifest themselves among African American soldiers exposed to combat.						
2.	Describe the way in which cultural nuances may frame or shape the processing of grief with African American populations						
3.	Describe evidence-based approaches for effective clinical social work practice with this population.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Baccalaureate Programs

Session # 522

Promoting Positive Attitudes Toward Research Among Undergraduates Through a Noncredited Mentor-Mentee Partnership Class

Liyun Wu, Norfolk State University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Convey a more positive approach to statistics to undergraduate students.					
2.	Design a structured noncredited class that will promote retention and improve the graduate rate among undergraduate students.					
3.	Demonstrate classroom leadership skills.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 525Concierge of the International Classroom: The Role of the Social WorkInstructor

Rebecca G. Judd, Texas A&M University-Commerce

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Create a plan for developing a social work study-abroad course (addressing the coordination of travel).					
2.	Design curriculum with experiential activities that increase self-awareness and cultural awareness.					
3.	Identify at least three areas for risk management when traveling with students in a study abroad course.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Evidence-Based Practice

 Session # 528
 Translating EBP: How Do We Make Evidence-based Practice Relevant to Students?

 Susan B. Bliss, Molloy College
 Susan B. Bliss, Molloy College

 Melissa McCardle, Molloy College
 Melissa McCardle, Molloy College

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 8:00:00 AM **Room:** Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Identify the steps of evidence-based practice, including the roles of clinical expertise, client needs, and practitioner resources in determining the best treatment plan for each client.							
2.	Identify difficulties faced by students in applying evidence-supported treatments to clinical practice.							
3.	Develop strategies that improve methods for teaching evidence-based practice to students.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	am Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 529	Best Practi Preceptor	ces in Developing an	d Supporting Agencies With a Non-MSW
	Amber Ford,	ki, University of Southern University of Southern C iao, University of Southe	alifornia
Date: Sunday, Octobe	er 26, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4		
1.	Identify benefits and challenges of the Preceptor and External Field Instructor (EFI) Field Placement model.						
2.	Develop a Preceptor training program.						
3.	Apply the Preceptor/EFI model to multidisciplinary, rural, and urban environments.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 531

Leniency Bias: Strategies to Support Accuracy and Consistency in Field Performance Evaluations

Hotel)

Room: Meeting Room 12 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Susan Marchetti, University of North Carolina at Charlotte Nancy Pierson Rebecca B. Brigham, UNC at Chapel Hill Amy Barsanti, University of North Carolina at Charlotte

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 8:00:00 AM

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				
1.	Describe "leniency bias" in field education and its potential impact on accurate assessment of student competencies.				
2.	Describe two approaches to address "leniency bias" and consistency in grading.				
3.	Describe generalist field rubrics, assessment of aggregate data, and suggested content changes to field instructor training.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Program Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 532

Practice Setting Safety: Students, Field Agencies and Social Work Field Education Partnership

Toni-Marie Ciarfella, Marist College Janet K. Acker, College of Saint Rose

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Define workplace safety as it pertains to the field placement setting and the populations served.						
2.	Develop proactive strategies to address student fears of safety risks and client violence prior to the field experience and develop strategies to assist students in developing their own safety plans.						
3.	Develop strategies to build partnerships; improve communication among students, programs, and field agencies; and produce recommendations for collaboration, debriefing, and notification if an incident occurs.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 534 Teaching Beyond the Universal Declaration of Human Rights Kathryn Libal, University of Connecticut Lynne Healy, University of Connecticut

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)
Dale. Sunday, October 20, 2014	11116. 0.00.00 Alvi	Room Room to (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe international human rights documents that can assist in integrating human rights-based principles into education about social work practice.						
2.	Describe resources from international and regional human rights institutions that can be used in the social work classroom.						
3.	Identify learning objectives and potential course assignments that link human rights principles from documents to social work.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Islam and Muslims

Session # 536

A Religious-Spiritual Framework for Understanding Acculturation Among Muslims

Altaf Husain, Howard University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe a religious-spiritual framework used by Muslim immigrants in negotiating day-to-day transactions with the host society.					
2.	Describe this framework's relationship to utilization of mental health services by Muslim clients.					
3.	Apply scenarios and practice principles to working with Muslim populations.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Military Social Work

Session # 537Preparing Social Workers to Conduct Suicide Assessments With Military
Members and Veterans

James Whitworth, Saint Leo University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
--------------------------------	------------------	--

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Describe steps for conducting assessments of suicidal military members and veterans that are culturally sensitive.							
2.	Identify and assess risk and protective factors for suicide among military members and veterans.							
3.	Describe a five-step assessment and response model with a military or veteran case scenario that can be used in teaching social work students.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	iters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social Welfare Policy and Policy Practice

Session # 539 Using Recent Court Cases as a Tool to Teach Social Policy Sunny Harris Rome

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Date. Sunday, October 20, 2014	1111e. 8.00.00 AW	Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe recent U.S. Supreme Court decisions that have implications for social policy and social work practice.						
2.	Describe differences in policy made by the judiciary versus that made by legislatures.						
3.	Develop strategies for introducing cases into the social work curriculum.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 542	2 Technology and Social Work Education: Transforming Pedagogy or Efficiency?
	Deb Willis
	Tana Bridge, Eastern Michigan Universit
	Jennifer Fritz, Eastern Michigan University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the differences between the use of technology to enhance education and a pedagogical transformation.				
2.	Articulate opportunities to conceptualize and make changes in curriculum that use current technology.				
3.	Identify issues and possible solutions in the integration of technology at multiple levels.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: University-Community Partnerships

Session # 543 Community-Engaged Participatory Action Research as an Integral Part of MSW Research Curricula

Time: 8:00:00 AM

Jennifer Middleton, University of Maine Sarah Harvey, University of Maine Alison Mitchell, University of Maine Nina Esaki, ANDRUS

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Room: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Describe differences among community-based research, service- learning programs, and "community-engaged" participatory action research								
2.	Integrate community-engaged participatory action research approaches into the MSW research curricula.								
3.	Describe the challenges of engaging communities and apply strategies to address those obstacles								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	nters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				1				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Normalizing Sexual Health and Sexuality in Clinical Practice and Social Work Session # 547 Education Elizabeth B. Russell, Nazareth College of Rochester Trevor G. Gates, College at Brockport, State University of New York Brian Kelly, Loyola University Chicago Michael P. Dentato, School of Social Work

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)

	rt 1: Learning Objectives ease evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Provide definitions of sexual health and sexuality and describe assessment tools and treatment resources for practitioners and educators.						
2.	Describe sample elective courses, course assignments, and suggestions for inclusion of sexual health assignments in practice courses.						
3.	Describe models and client-driven assignments for better understanding of sexual health in clinical practice.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Community Organization and Social Administration

Session # 548	Place-Bas	ed Community Organ	izing: Implications for Social Work Education
	Lorraine Gu	tierrez, University of Michi	gan
	Amy Krings	, University of Michigan	.
	Caroline A.	Lanza, University of Wash	lington
	Susan Kem	р	
Data: Sunday, Octo	or 26 2014		Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Watersid

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 8:00:	0 AM Roo Hot	om: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside tel)
--	-----------------	---

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be	able	to:			
	 Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree Describe the strengths and limitations of place-based local organizing within a globalized world. Describe skills required to do effective place-based interventions. 					
1.						
2.	Describe skills required to do effective place-based interventions.					
3.	Describe teaching methods for educating students to engage in place-based community practice.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	iters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 554	Supportin	g Field Education in a	n Online Environment
	Donna McE	Jackson, Walden Univers veen, Walden University er, Walden University	ity
Date: Sunday, Octob	per 26, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 6 (Ma

Room: Meeting Room 6 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree								
1.	Describe trends in online social work education.								
2.	Describe challenges of field education in an online environment.								
3.	Describe key components of a successful online field education program.								
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters								
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4				
Program Content									
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	nters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: ____



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Health

Session # 555	Teaching Se	exuality to Social Wo	ork Students: Sixty Years In a Contact Zone
	Susan Ekberg	Stiritz, Washington Uni	versity in St. Louis
	George Turne	r, University of Kansas	-
	Sabitha Pillai-I	Friedman, Widener Univ	rersity
Date: Sunday, Octobe	r 26, 2014	Time: 8:00:00 AM	Room: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center)

Dort 1.	Learning Objectives				
	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	hle	to.		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		2	3	4
1.	List at least six reasons why social workers must be able to address clients' sexual issues at micro, mezzo, and/or macro levels.				
2.	Demonstrate PLISSET and RECOGNITION Models (Couldrick, 2010) for addressing clients' sexual issues.				
3.	Describe at least three gaps in sexual health preparation and three paths to addressing them.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.	1			
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

Session # 561Teaching Implementation and Quality Science to Integrate Social Work Practice
and Research

Enola K Proctor Curtis McMillen, University of Chicago Leopoldo J. Cabassa,

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 8:00:00 AM Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the basics of implementation and quality improvement sciences and their application to social work practice in social service agencies.				
2.	Describe new roles for social workers who integrate implementation and quality improvement sciences into agency practice.				
3.	Describe options for presenting this content to social work students.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Baccalaureate Programs

Session # 565

Gatekeeping and the Implicit Curriculum: A Model for Developing Behaviorally Specific Policies

Mary Hylton Jill Manit, University of Nevada, Reno Gloria Messick Svare, University of Nevada, Reno

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

4 **Time:** 11:00:00 AM **Room:** Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ole	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Articulate the legal, organizational, and cultural barriers to professional gatekeeping within social work education programs.				
2.	Describe the steps used within this model to develop gatekeeping policies.				
3.	Apply the four-step model to develop behaviorally specific gatekeeping policies relevant to particular organizational contexts.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 566

The Lōkahi Wheel: A Culturally Sensitive Assessment Tool for Child Welfare Professionals

Tammy Kaho`olemana Martin, University of HawaiÃ,Â'i at Manoa

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 13 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Apply pedagogical strategies to train students in culturally sensitive assessments with child welfare clients.				
2.	Train students to use the Lōkahi wheel for identifying issues, formulating goals, and monitoring progress.				
3.	Identify interview skills that promote safety, engagement, and client-centered practice for involuntary clients to share their life perspectives.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Session # 567	Relationship Is the Key: Common Factors and Clinical Supervision
	Marilyn Ghezzi, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AMRoom: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe implications of the common factors research for social work practice and clinical supervision.				
2.	Describe how relational supervision promotes supervisee self-understanding and professional growth and teaches supervisees how to leverage the relationship as a key element in the change process.				
3.	Discuss the basics of a relational approach to supervision and its concordance with social work values and ethics.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 568.5 Social Work Educators of Color and Antiracist Commitment: Turning Challenges Into Opportunities

Melissa Redmond, Carleton Universit Kenta Asakura, University of Toronto Steven Solomon, Ryerson University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 11 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the current classroom and academic climates for faculty of color.				
2.	Describe case studies that highlight opportunities and/or challenges commonly experienced by social work educators of color.				
3.	Describe challenges and opportunities in the advancement of structural integration of antiracist, social justice frameworks within schools of social work.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: ___



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Educational Outcomes Assessment

Session # 569	Enhancing Program Assessn	nent Through SWEAP
	Tobi A. DeLong Hamilton, Lewis-C	lark State College
	Roy Rodenhiser, Boise State Unive	
	Kathryn S. Krase, Long Island Univ	versity-Brooklyn Campus
Data: Sunday, Octo	Time: 11:00:00 /	M Boom, Boom 20 (Tompo Convor

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Identify and explain EPAS assessment requirements.				
2.	Describe the differences between direct and indirect measurements for program assessment.				
3.	Describe how SWEAP instruments can be utilized in assessment.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: ___



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 570	Developing Program	a Student-Centered	Advising System for a National Online MSW
	Reeve Goldha	/, Boston University Iber, Boston University n-O'Brien, Boston Unive	rsity
Date: Sunday, October 2	26, 2014	Time: 11:00:00 AM	Room: Meeting Room 6 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe advantages and challenges of a student-centered advising model for a national online MSW program.				
2.	Describe the supports and services required by students in an online MSW program.				
3.	Describe the supports needed by regional advisers in an online MSW program.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	im Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Interprofessional and Transdisciplinary Practice

 Session # 574
 Interprofessional Education: Working With the Three Es (Expectations, Egos, and Experiences)

 Genesia Kilgore-Bowling, University of Pikeville
 Canda Byrne, University of Pikeville

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AMRoom: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside
Hotel)

	Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	able	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Identify and describe the core competencies for interprofessional education.				
2.	Demonstrate the task group skills that are necessary in collaboration to develop interprofessional education projects.				
3.	Describe difficulties and successes in interprofessional education.				
Part 2	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presei	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

Session # 576 Applied Multilevel Modeling John G. Orme, University of Tennessee at Knoxville

Nathaniel J. Williams, College of Social Work

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Develop an introductory applied multilevel modeling course.				
2.	Describe strategies for creating an engaging, safe, and meaningful classroom experience in which students learn and apply complex multilevel modeling methods to social work research areas.				
3.	Describe how cross-sectional and longitudinal multilevel linear regression can be used to examine questions about human behavior within a dynamic person-in- environment framework.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social and Economic Justice

Session # 577

Seeking Justice: Teaching Social and Economic Justice in the Micro Curriculum Jennifer E. Harrison, Western Michigan University Ineke Way, Western Michigan University Karen VanDeusen, Western Michigan University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM **Room:** Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be all	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the historical debate about the role of social and economic justice (SEJ) in micro practice.				
2.	Identify the unique contributions of micro approaches that advance SEJ in social work practice.				
3.	Describe three teaching strategies and assignments to integrate into micro social work curricula.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Teaching Methods and Learning Styles

Session # 579

"I'm Not a Feminist": Teaching Feminist Theory to Skeptical Social Work Students

Diane Hodge, School of Social Work

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1. Describe examples of feminist theoretical concepts and practice modalities for in social work practice that can help students relate theory to practice.	use							
 Apply feminist pedagogical principles, particularly the use of collaborative worl consciousness-raising, to encourage and enhance the use of feminist theory in students' social work practice skills and knowledge. 								
 Describe ways to use popular media, online discussions of recent news, and collaborative in-class and online presentations to help students understand an articulate the usefulness of feminist theory in their social work practice work ar lives of their clients. 								
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters								
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Program Content								
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3. The presentation was clear and effective.								
Presenters								
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 580

Technology-Enhanced Practice Courses and Collaborative Learning in Distance Education

M. Diane Calloway-Graham, Utah State University Carl J. Sorenson, Utah State University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Identify and define course content involved in an innovative technology-based teaching model that can enhance the interaction of learner with instructor, learner with learner, and learner with content.								
2.	Demonstrate technology-based and collaborative learning methods used to increase student conceptualization and proficiency in individual and group leadership skill development.								
3.	Describe course outlines and resources that help faculty members to integrate technology-based teaching and collaborative learning applications into individual and group-work practice syllabi.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 581 Using Social Media in the Classroom: Not Just for Geeks

Jimmy Young, University of Nebraska at Kearne Melanie Sage Jonathan Singer, Temple University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Describe the ways that at least five social media platforms can be used in social work education.								
2.	Describe strengths and limitations of social media platforms for social work education.								
3.	Identify assignments well suited to social media enhancement.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Presen	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 582What's in an MSW? Career Trajectories and Practice Outcomes for PCW
EmployeesAnasuya Ray, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
Ayse Akincigil, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
Ericka Deglau, Rutgers, The State University of New JerseyDate: Sunday, October 26, 2014Time: 11:00:00 AM
Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Identify three contextual factors that may influence retention and career paths of MSW public child welfare employees.								
2.	Describe two to three ways in which professional social work education can contribute to sustaining reform and improved practice in public child welfare.								
3.	Identify potential organizational barriers that affect promotional opportunities for public child welfare workers with an MSW and strategies to assist students/graduates in addressing these barriers.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Session # 583	Clinical Practice in Times of Economic Stress: The Narrative of Poverty
	Janice Edwards
	Linda Openshaw, Texas A&M University-Commerce
	Michael Schock, Augsburg College

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Describe how poverty affects an individual's self-respect.								
2.	Use client strengths and cognitive therapy in clinical practice to undermine the effects of poverty.								
3.	Apply the narrative of poverty to social work education.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Presen	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Clinical Practice

Session # 584 Strength-Based Social Work: A Meta-Theory to Guide Social Work Research and Practice

Catherine A. Simmons, University of Memphis Valerie Shapiro, University of California at Berkeley Sarah Accomazzo, University of California at Berkeley Trevor Matheny

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the historical developments of strengths-based practice in the social work profession, the current state of strength-based social work practice, and a conceptual framework and justification for strength-based social work as a meta-theory.				
2.	Describe four clinical practice models that draw upon the meta-theory of strength- based social works.				
3.	Describe teaching tools and assessment strategies related to EPAS practice behaviors for strength-based social work within clinical/direct practice.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	Im Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.		1		

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Community Organization and Social Administration

Session # 585

Macro Practice in Social Work Education: Poised for a Comeback? Margaret Sherraden, University of Missouri-St. Louis Sunny Harris Rome Mark S. Homan, Retired Andrew Calderaro, Hunter College, City University of New York

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be all	ole	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the current state of macro practice in social work education and understand its implications for the social work profession				
2.	Describe initiatives and innovations that advance the role of macro practice both within the academy and in the social work profession.				
3.	Describe creative strategies and roles for social workers to advance and sustain the profession's historical role in both micro and macro practice.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 592

Promoting an Antiracism Commitment for 20 Years: Strategies, Lessons Learned, and Future Directions

Peggy O'Neill, Smith College Kathryn Basham, Smith College Joshua Miller, Smith College Irene Rodriguez Martin

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Room 18 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
1.	Implement antiracism initiatives that highlight institutional structures in need of change.								
2.	Describe reciprocal change dynamics that accompany an antiracism commitment across and between curriculum, administrative structures, faculty, staff, students, and the broader community, including field.								
3.	Plan and implement steps required to sustain and advance an organization's antiracism commitment in ever-changing practice environments and clinical social work education.								
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4				
Progra	m Content								
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.								
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.								
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.								
Preser	ters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.								
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.								
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions								
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.								

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: International Issues

Session # 599

What Social Work Educators Can Learn From Cuba: Aging, Health Care, LGBTQ, and Women's Issues

Patricia O'Brien, University of Illinois at Chicago Cassandra Bransford Josephine A.V. Allen, Binghamton University, State University of New York Kamilah Majied, Howard University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 11:00:00 AM Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe distinctions of practice with populations such as older adults, LGBT individuals, and women.				
2.	Describe practice approaches of Cuba and the United States that respond to needs within these populations.				
3.	Describe how approaches embedded in the Cuban social/political system may build practice in the United States and contribute to curriculum.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Program Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Addictions

Session # 603Funding, Implementing, and Integrating Addiction Counselor Licensing
Training in an MSW ProgramDarcy Clay Siebert, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
Heidi K. Rodrick, Wichita State University
Carl Siebert, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

 Time: 1:45:00 PM
 Room: Room 13 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Describe practical and political issues involved in securing funding and institutional support for an addiction counselor training program that prepares graduate students for clinical licensing.							
2.	Describe curricular issues and factors to consider in integrating requirements of CSWE, state licensing boards, MSW faculty members, field personnel, and field agencies.							
3.	Develop a competency-based curriculum that combines the requirements for clinical licensing in addictions and the evidence-based knowledge and skills needed by students to practice in an integrated mental health and addictions environment.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presenters								
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Baccalaureate Programs

Session # 604	Social Wo	rk Education at Regio	nal Campuses
	Larry W. Ow	ens, Western Kentucky U	Iniversity
	Dana Sulliva	an, Western Kentucky Univ	versity
	Simon Fung	e, Western Kentucky Univ	versity
Deter Cunder Octo	har 00 0011		Deems Deem 22 (Temps Convention Cont

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Identify factors that must be considered in establishing a social work program at regional campuses.						
2.	Describe advantages and challenges of social work education at regional campuses.						
3.	Describe benefits and challenges for faculty members at regional campuses.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 605A Framework for Remediating Performance Issues in Field Education
Carol Wilson-Smith, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Mary Maurer, University of Illinois at Urbana-ChampaignDate: Sunday, October 26, 2014Time: 1:45:00 PMRoom: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	· · · ·	3	4	
1.	Develop remediation plans that assist struggling students with connecting their behaviors with the CSWE competencies.					
2.	Describe the process used to engage students in the development of the remediation plan.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree				3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Presen	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 606

Inclusion: Ongoing Collaboration Between the Field Education Office and the Office of Disability Resources and Services

Valarie Clemmons, Temple University / School of Social Work Shirley Moy, Temple University Tammy Carson, Temple University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 6 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
•		Hotel)

Part 1: Learning Objectives								
Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:								
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1		3	4			
1.	Engage and collaborate with an Office of Disability Services in field internships for social work students with documented and undocumented disabilities.							
2.	Develop and modify field policies, procedures, and materials to meet the needs of students with disabilities.							
3.	Engage field agencies in a collaborative process that will provide better opportunities for students with disabilities.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 607Preparing Field Students to Support Parents Suffering the Traumatic Loss of a
ChildPamella S. Franzwa, University of Southern California
Ruth A. Supranovich, University of Southern California

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)
		Room 14 (Tampa Contention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe the DSM 5 diagnosis of Persistent Complex Bereavement Disorder (PCBD) and how students can support the natural healing process.					
2.	Apply the experience of traumatic loss, facilitate discussions around sensitive issues, and assist field students in managing the anxiety inherent in working with bereaved individuals.					
3.	Design a role play that can lessen the anxiety of field students in working with bereaved parents.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Progra	m Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	ters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Health Session # 609

Preparing Future Leaders: Developing and Implementing an Interprofessional Health-Care Education Camp

Julie Alter-Kay Takisha LaShore, Wayne State University Kristen Carney, Wayne State University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 1:45:00 PMRoom: Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree						
1.	Create a residential summer camp focused on involving multiple disciplines, working in teams, and exploring health-care careers.						
2.	Describe how to develop a curriculum that focuses on social work careers and engages high school juniors and seniors.						
3.	Describe lesson plans across fieldwork, practice, research, policy, and human behavior for a high school health-care career camp focusing on diabetes.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree						
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

Session # 611

Keeping It Real: Program Evaluation Projects for an Undergraduate Research Class

Aesha J John

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agre	e	1	2	3	4	
1. Develop program evaluation projects for an undergraduate research clas	S.					
2. Provide hands-on learning opportunities to undergraduate social work stu	udents.					
3. Develop research collaborations with local social service agencies						
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agre	e	1	2	3	4	
Program Content						
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical ne	eeds.					
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3. The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presenters						
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social and Economic Justice

Session # 612 Teaching First Principles of Social Justice: Building the Foundation for Policy Practice Jonathan Lukens Monica Leisey, Salem State University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Facilitate the exploration of students' first principles necessary for decision making					
2.	Implement critical-thinking strategies that engage students in enhancing their ability to make ethical decisions					
3.	Understand and consider the importance of epistemic levels and facilitating student growth among them.					
Part 2	: Program Content/Presenters					
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4	
Progr	am Content					
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Prese	nters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Spirituality

Session # 613 Spirituality's Place in the Curriculum: Are We Listening to Practitioners?					
		Rinkel, Hawai'i Pacific Ur n, Hawai'i Pacific Univer	,		
Date: Sunday, October 2	26, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)		

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
1.	Describe spiritually-derived interventions used by social workers and identify where social workers are learning about these practices					
2.	Describe the role of social work education in the development of spiritually culturally competent practice.					
3.	Identify concrete measures taken by social work programs to lessen the training gap around religious and spiritual practice.					
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters					
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4	
Program Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.					
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.					
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.					
Preser	iters					
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.					
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.					
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions					
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.					

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 614

Connections: The Honey That Smooths Online Education Dorinda Noble, Texas State University-San Marcos Amy Russell, Texas State University-San Marcos

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe how connectivity and relationship building can enhance student learning, satisfaction, and outcomes in an online environment.						
2.	Identify techniques for increasing connectivity through case scenarios and online student and faculty video reports and will extrapolate these findings to a broader application of how students seek greater instructor connectivity and interaction, as well as enhancement of these interactions.						
3.	Describe how instructors can face challenges in online learning environments such as student learning, student satisfaction, and time investment.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.							
۷.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
2. 3.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations. The presentation was clear and effective.						
	The presentation was clear and effective.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
3. Preser	The presentation was clear and effective. ters						
3. Preser 4.	The presentation was clear and effective. ters The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 615Ethics, Values, and Theories: Thinking Critically About Useful KnowledgeJames Forte, Salisbury University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
--------------------------------	------------------	--

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		2	3	4			
1.	Describe a critical thinking approach to borrowed and created theory and the responsible and effective use of such theories.							
2.	Apply skills in six areas that use the profession's core ethical guidelines and core value preferences as standards for judging and using theories in helping situations.							
3.	Use knowledge across roles and helping situations to affirm professional values, comply with ethical guidelines, and adhere to scientific ideals.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Preser	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Child Welfare

Session # 617

Orphan Volunteerism: Altruism, Exploitation, and Considerations for the Children's Best Interest

Jini Roby, Brigham Young University Kelley McCreery Bunkers, Maestral International Severine Chevrel

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 10 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe orphan tourism and orphan volunteerism.						
2.	Describe the short- and long-term impact of orphan volunteerism.						
3.	Describe the policy, practice, and research implications of orphan tourism/volunteerism.						
Part 2:	Part 2: Program Content/Presenters						
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		1	2	3	4		
Program Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Presen	ters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

	"School's Interfering With My Education!": Bibliotherapy to Intervene i Cultural Competence Failures					
Rory O'E	kberg Stiritz, Washington Un Brien Saah, Washington Universit	,				
Daiyonia	odali, washington onworsh					
Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 12 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)				

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Demonstrate bibliotherapeutic tools.						
2.	Analyze fiction about minority groups using relevant lenses drawn from critical theory.						
3.	Articulate at least three new insights about muted groups' experiences that could hamper identity development, interpersonal relationships between minority and majority students, and social work practice.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	im Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	nters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Evidence-Based Practice

Session # 619

Evidence Based Practice in North American MSW Curricula: Results and Questions Rosalyn M. Bertram, University of Missouri-Kansas City Eileen Gambrill, University of California at Berkeley

Danielle Parrish, University of Houston

			`
Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	TIME: 1:45:00 PM	Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Cente	r)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe the differences among evidence-informed or evidence-based practice, research-supported treatments, and research supported interventions.						
2.	Identify supports and barriers to integrating evidence-based practice or research- supported treatments within MSW curricula.						
3.	Describe the relationship among critical thinking, social work values, and social work ethics in evidence-based practice and research-supported treatment.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree		2	3	4		
Progra	m Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	iters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

 Session # 630
 Collecting MSW Employment Data: New Challenges and Increasing Expectations

 Michelle Woods, University of Michigan Jennifer Luna-Idunate, University of Texas at Austin Michael Jogerst, University of Chicago Carol Doelling, Washington University in St. Louis

 Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014
 Time: 1:45:00 PM
 Room: Meeting Room 9 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
1.	Describe the value and challenges of standard guidelines for reporting employment data.						
2.	Describe the importance of first-destination data for institutions and the profession.						
3.	Describe approaches used by four schools to report first-destination data on MSW graduates.						
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters						
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4		
Progra	am Content						
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.						
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.						
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.						
Preser	nters						
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.						
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.						
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions						
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.						

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social and Economic Justice

Session # 631	Thinking Through the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child
	Ann P. Rall, Eastern Michigan University
	Katie Richards-Schuster, University of Michigan
	Ken Saldanha, Eastern Michigan University
	Janet L. Finn, University of Montana

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 1:45:00 PM Room: Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be able to:							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
1.	Describe the international framework of rights outlined in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC).							
2.	Describe strategies for using the CRC as a framework for critical reflection and action in social work education							
3.	Describe examples for the integration of human rights in social work education and practice.							
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters							
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4			
Progra	m Content							
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.							
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.							
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.							
Presen	ters							
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.							
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.							
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions							
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.							

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Addictions

Session # 637Integrating Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) Into
Field Education

Jill Russett, Christopher Newport University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Ti		Room: Meeting Room 6 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
-----------------------------------	--	--

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be	able	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1		3	4
1.	Convey the relevance of SBIRT for students entering the field and agency instructors who are providing supervision.				
2.	Provide training on evidence-based SBIRT practices, including direct skills.				
3.	Integrate SBIRT into field education for students and field agency instructors.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Cultural Competence

Session # 638 Discovering Cultural Bias: An Innovative Methodology for Understanding What Students Really Believe

Scott Wilkes, Jack, Joseph and Morton Mandel School of Applied Social Sciences

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 30B (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will	be able	to:		
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1. Describe the implementation of the methodology concerning cultural bias.				
2. Describe the pedagogical and theoretical rationale for the methodology.				
3. Describe the implementation challenges and ethical issues of the methodology.				
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters				
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Program Content				
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3. The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters				
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 640Options for Learning: Virtual Training for Field InstructorsSandy E. Speier, New York University
Lydia Franco, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 19 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the concepts behind virtual education for field instructors				
2.	Identify ways to implement a virtual model to support staff development of field instructors.				
3.	Use the virtual model of training to support field instructors in supervision with their social work students.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Field Education

Session # 641The Spark: Engaging Online Students With a Completely Online Field SeminarSarah Keiser, University of Tennessee at Knoxville

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 14 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives				
Please	evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Develop an effective online field seminar.				
2.	Apply skills to engage students.				
3.	Apply materials to fit program needs within a particular online environment.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Higher Education/Nonprofit Leadership

 Session # 643
 A Crisis in Social Work Continuing Professional Education? A Faculty Conversation

 Betty J. Ruth, School of Social Work
 Betty J. Ruth, School of Social Work

 Mark Gianino, Boston University
 Deborah Sheehan, School of Social Work

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 3:30:00 PMRoom: Room 12 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe intersecting issues and the dynamic changes associated with continuing professional education in social work (CPE).				
2.	Describe factors that affect CPE quality and effectiveness.				
3.	Develop action steps for increasing the visibility of and conversation about CPE within social work higher education.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Interprofessional and Transdisciplinary Practice

Session # 644	Advancing S Practice	Social Work Education	on Through Interprofessional Education and
	,	Arizona State University , School of Social Work	/
Date: Sunday, October 2	26, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 8 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be	able	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe at least three diverse models of interprofessional education to prepare allied health-care professionals for collaborative practice.				
2.	Provide curricular examples that demonstrate four competency domains for interprofessional education and practice.				
3.	Describe challenges and strategies to foster the success of interprofessional education and practice initiatives for allied professionals.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters		·		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	im Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Research and Program Evaluation

Session # 645	The Logic	al Way: Planning and	Evaluating Social Work Programs
	Doyle Pruitt	, Keuka College	
	Ed Silverma	n, Keuka College	
	Jason McKi	nney, Keuka College	
	Stephanie C	Craig, Keuka College	
Datas Sunday Octobe	r 26 2014	Time: 2:20:00 DM	Boom Boom 16 (Tampa Convention Co

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 16 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe logic models and their application to a social work program's evaluation and strategic plan.				
2.	Create a logic model that integrates various stakeholder requirements and apply it to a strategic plan.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Rural Issues

 Session # 646
 MSW Training Grant in Rural Mental and Behavioral Health Practice: Lessons Learned

 Carrie Rishel, West Virginia University Helen Hartnett, West Virginia University

 Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014
 Time: 3:30:00 PM
 Room: Meeting Room 10 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)

	Learning Objectives e evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ıble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the development and implementation of a HRSA-funded specialized training program for MSW students in rural mental and behavioral health practice.				
2.	Describe evaluation results and apply them to program development and implementation.				
3.	Apply evaluation results to other social work program and training grant contexts.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	am Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Social Welfare Policy and Policy Practice

Session # 647 Teaching Policy Change Through Team-Based Learning: Utilizing a Nursing Home Simulation

Denise E. Dedman, Saginaw Valley State University Catherine A. Macomber, Saginaw Valley State University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time:		Room: Meeting Room 12 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside Hotel)
--------------------------------------	--	---

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe activities in team-based learning (TBL) for engaging students in acquiring EPAS competencies 2.1.8 and 2.1.9				
2.	Describe TBL in the social service agency context.				
3.	Describe the need for infusion of gerontological content in the core social work curriculum				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presen	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				\square

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 649	Advocacy S	kills Reconsidered:	Digital Self-Advocacy and Social Media
	Dennis Long,	Xavier University	
	Carolyn J. Tic	e, University of Maryland	d, Baltimore County
	Lisa Cox		
Date: Sunday, October	26, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Room 15 (Tampa Convention Center)

Part 1: Learning Objectives Please evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be	e abl	e to	:	
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1. Identify skills in social media to advocate for client systems.				
 Describe knowledge about technology for practicing advocacy skills and strategies with clients and client systems. 				
 Describe advocacy as a link between micro and macro levels of social work practice. 				
Part 2: Program Content/Presenters				
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Program Content				
1. The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2. The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3. The presentation was clear and effective.				
Presenters				
4. The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5. The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6. The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7. I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: ____



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 650

Harnessing Social Media: Developing Ethical Student Engagement Guidelines for Social Work Education David Axlyn McLeod, University of Oklahoma

Jimmy Young, University of Nebraska at Kearney Shane R. Brady, University of Oklahoma

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014

Time: 3:30:00 PM **Room:** Room 20 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ole ⁻	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Compare and contrast positions, attitudes, and perspectives on the appropriateness of educational engagement with students in social media venues.				
2.	Describe at least three examples of policies that address student and faculty privacy, methods of interaction, and pedagogy considerations in educational social media interactions.				
3.	Develop potential considerations, values, and strategies related to ethical practice and conduct in digital spaces.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	nters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Technology in Social Work Education and Practice

Session # 651 Teaching Social Work Writing Practice Skills in an Online Course Patricia Desrosiers, Western Kentucky University Patricia Desrosiers, Western Kentucky University Erlene Grise-Owens, Spalding University Patricia Desrosiers, Spalding University

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 21 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al		to:		
r iease	***Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree***	1		3	4
1.	Describe the utility of a systematic approach to address writing challenges.		\square		
2.	Articulate strategies that can improve writing practice skills of social work students.		\square		
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	iters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: University-Community Partnerships

Session # 652Field Education-Community Collaboration: Response to Capacity Building and
Enhanced Field-Practicum Learning

Vivien Villaverde, University of Southern California Shu Chen Hsiao, University of Southern California

Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014 Time: 3:30:00 PM Room: Room 22 (Tampa Convention Center)

	Learning Objectives evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be al	ble	to:		
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
1.	Describe the evolution of university-community collaboration within field education.				
2.	Describe a model used in creating and formalizing field education-community collaboration that integrates micro, mezzo, and macro levels of practice.				
3.	Describe two pilot field agency sites that have micro-mezzo and mezzo-macro foci.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature:



The Council on Social Work Education, provider # 1163, is approved as a provider for social work continuing education by the Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB) www.aswb.org, through the Approved Continuing Education (ACE) Program. The Council on Social Work Education maintains responsibility for the program. You are required to submit this completed evaluation form to obtain CE credit for this session.

Track: Values and Ethics

Session # 653 Human R	ights and Social Work	Values/Ethics: Connecting Across Content
	Hawkins, Texas State University-S	5
Date: Sunday, October 26, 2014	Time: 3:30:00 PM	Room: Meeting Room 4 (Marriott Tampa Bay Waterside

Hotel)

Part 1:	Learning Objectives				
	evaluate whether objectives were met. After this session, participants will be a	ble	to:		
Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree					4
1.	Describe an instructional framework and teaching strategies for connecting human rights and social work values and ethics.				
2.	Articulate methods that emphasize ethical practice and can be used in applying universal human rights to redress social Injustice.				
3.	Describe case examples featuring conflicts in values and ethical dilemmas.				
Part 2:	Program Content/Presenters				
	Rating Scale: 1 poor/strongly disagree 4 excellent/strongly agree	1	2	3	4
Progra	m Content				
1.	The presentation was useful for my professional education and clinical needs.				
2.	The presenters' knowledge and expertise met my expectations.				
3.	The presentation was clear and effective.				
Preser	ters				
4.	The presenter/s showed subject matter knowledge and expertise.				
5.	The presenter/s presentation was clear and effective.				
6.	The presenter/s were well prepared and attentive to questions				
7.	I would attend another presentation by this/these presenter/s.				

Signature: _